STP Selection Matrix

Version 5/8/2017

Project Name:	Faith's Toyota Ford
Discharge Point:	Connecticutt River

Step 1: Is the Water Quality Treatment Standard entirely managed with one or more of the following Tier 1 practices?

Infiltration Basins/ Trenches/ Chambers

Drvwells

Bioretention (designed to infiltrate)

Filters (designed to infiltrate)

Reforestation¹

Simple Disconnection

Disconnection to Filter Strips and Vegetated Buffers

Dry Swales (designed to infiltrate)

Permeable Pavement¹

Stop. No further justification needed.

Yes \(\begin{array}{c}\) No

1. These practices do not require specific justification due to feasibility limitations

Step 2: Assess the feasibility of using Tier 1 Practices Complete the matrix below in its entirety for each drainage area. Filters (infiltrating) nfiltration Basin/ rench/ Chamber Disconnection to egetated Buffer Disconnection Filter Strips or No Tier 1 practices are available for use. Proceed to **Bioretentior** infiltrating) infiltrating) **Dry Swales** Step 3 to evaluate Tier 2 Practices. Simple Not Not Not Not Not Not Not **Practice Availability for Water Quality Treatment?** Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible **Practice Availability Based on Restrictions Feasibility Restriction** Response Do underlying soils have an infiltration rate of less than 0.2 inches per hour, as confirmed by field Not Not Not Not Not n/a n/a O Yes O No geotechnical tests or are classified as Hydrologic Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Soil Group D according to the NRCS Soil survey? Will runoff to the practice include discharge from a Not Not Not Not Not Not O Yes O No Not Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible hotspot landuse or activity? Feasible Is the site a brownfield or contaminated site where infiltration is restricted or where infiltration would Yes No Not Not Not Not Not Not increase the threat of pollution migration, as Not Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible confirmed in writing by the Department's Waste Management and Prevention Division? Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than Not O Yes O No n/a n/a n/a Not Feasible n/a n/a 15% Feasible Not Is the slope of the filter strip greater than 15% Yes No n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Feasible Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than O Yes O N n/a n/a n/a n/a Not Feasible n/a n/a 8% Are natural slopes where an infiltration trench or Not Not Not Not O Yes n/a n/a n/a Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible basin could be sited greater than 15% Bottom of practice would be below seasonal high Not Not Not Not Not O Yes O N n/a n/a Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible Feasible water table Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less Not Not O Yes O N n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Feasible Feasible than 1 foot from the bottom of the practice. Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less Not Yes No n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Feasible than 3 feet from the bottom of the practice.

STP Selection Matrix - SN1 Page 1 of 2

Will the practice be located within 75 feet downgradient of a wastewater disposal area system, within 35 feet up-gradient or 75 feet downgradient of a wastewater disposal system?	○ Yes () N∈	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	n/a	n/a	Not Feasible	Not Feasible
Will the practice be located within 150 feet of a drinking water source located in an unconfined aquifer?	○ Yes (O N∙	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	n/a	n/a	Not Feasible	Not Feasible
Will the practice be located within 100 feet of a drinking water source located in bedrock or a confined unconsolidated aquifer?	O Yes () N∈	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	n/a	n/a	Not Feasible	Not Feasible
Will the practice be located within Zone 1 or Zone 2 of a public community groundwater source protection area?	O Yes (O N∙	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	n/a	n/a	Not Feasible	Not Feasible
Will the practice be located within 200 feet of non-	O Yes (O N	Not	Not	Not	n/a	n/a	Not	Not
transient non-community groundwater source?			Feasible	Feasible	Feasible			Feasible	Feasible
Will the practice violate any restrictions of the Vermont Wastewater and Potable Water Supply Rules, or their replacement?	O Yes (O N	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible	Not Feasible
Is the Water Quality Treatment Standard						_	ecific justi		
Is the Water Quality Treatment Standard entirely managed with Tier 2 Practices? If the the use of a Tier 1 or Tier 2 Practice is infeasib submit site specific detailed feasibility justification the standard of the standar		ons b	-	Tier 3	Practices Quali n Step 2 of	may be	used to n ment Stan	neet the dard.	mer may
entirely managed with Tier 2 Practices? If the the use of a Tier 1 or Tier 2 Practice is infeasib	le for reas hat such pr Matrix and	ons l raction	peyond tho ces are not ermination	Tier 3 ose listed in feasible for that Tier a	Practices Quali n Step 2 of ollowing the and Tier 2 is	the STP See guidance	used to nent Stanelection Mate in Section in the infeasible	neet the dard. rix, a design 2.2.4.1 of the shall a design shall	mer may he 2017 signer
entirely managed with Tier 2 Practices? If the the use of a Tier 1 or Tier 2 Practice is infeasib submit site specific detailed feasibility justification to VSMM. Only after completion of the STP Selection of the STP S	ole for reaso hat such pr Matrix and astructure	sons k raction dete	peyond the ces are not ermination neeting the	Tier 3 ose listed in feasible for that Tier a	Practices Quali n Step 2 of ollowing the and Tier 2 is	the STP See guidance	used to nent Stanelection Mate in Section in the infeasible	neet the dard. rix, a design 2.2.4.1 of the shall a design shall	mer may he 2017 signer

STP Selection Matrix - SN1 Page 2 of 2

Project Name	Faith's Toyota Ford
---------------------	---------------------

The name above will appear on all the discharge point tabs

Site Summary

Do not fill this tab out, apart from the project name and notes. It will auto-populated based on the values on the discharge point tabs. Discharge points (SN) will only show on the summary if an area has been entered on that tab. Areas listed below are those seeking permit coverage.

		Total	SN1
	New	1.59	1.59
ns	Redeveloped	2.38	2.38
Impervious	Existing	0.41	0.41
per	Previously		
lm	Authorized	0.00	0.00
	Total	4.38	4.38
	Site Area	9.64	9.64
	Latitud	е	43.10688
	Longitu	-72.44156	
	Receivir	Connecticu t River	

Recharge

	Total	SN1
Required	0.0583	0.0583
Provided	1.0580	1.0580
Standard met?	Yes	Yes

Notes:

Water Quality

	Total	SN1
Required	0.2994	0.2994
Provided	1.0580	1.0580
Standard met?	Yes	Yes

A minimum WQ $_V$ of 0.2" (P*R $_V$) is required for sites with low impervious (<16.67%). This calculation has not been incorporated into this workbook. Designers should check that the minimum WQ $_V$ has been met for their site.

Notes: Site balancing was used to meet standards. 2.24 acres of redeveloped impervious surface located in S/N 001 will be treated to 100% water quality in the proposed infiltration basin to compensate for 0.412 acres of untreated new and 0.140 acres of untreated redeveloped surface within S/N 001 as identified on sheet SW.02.

Channel Protection

Standard Applies? Ves Waiver Waiver Water		Total	SN1	
Method Hydrologic Condition Method HC, 0.2946 0.2946 1.0580 1.0580	Standard Annlies?			
Method HCv 0.2946 0.2946 Tv Provided 1.0580 1.0580 Notes: SN1 Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver Standard Applies? Notes: Extreme Flood Protection SN1 Standard Applies? Notes:	Standard Applies:		103	
Method HCv 0.2946 0.2946 Tv Provided 1.0580 1.0580 Notes: SN1	Waiver			
Method 0.2946 0.2946 0.2946 0.2946 0.2946 0.2946 0.2946 0.0580 1.0580 1.0580				
Notes:	Method			
Notes: Notes: Standard Applies? Yes Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver	HCv	0.2946		
Notes: Sindard Applies? Fre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A				
Overbank Flood Protection Standard Applies? Yes Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver **210 sq mil** Notes: **SN1** **Notes:** **SN1** **Standard Applies? No Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 **Vaiver impervious* **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:** **Notes:*			1.0500	
Overbank Flood Protection Standard Applies? Yes Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver **NOTES** Extreme Flood Protection Standard Applies? No Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 **Waiver** **NOTES** **NOTES**	Notes:			
Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver >10 sq mi				
Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Standard Applies? Notes: Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Waiver Notes: Notes:	Overhank Flood I	Protection	on	
Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver Notes: SN1	C VCI Saink I 1000 I			
Pre-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) N/A Waiver Notes: Notes: SN1	Standard	Δnnlies?		
Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Notes: Notes:				
Notes: Notes:				
Extreme Flood Protection Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Waiver Notes:	Routed, Post-De	ev Q (CIS)	IN/A	
Extreme Flood Protection Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Waiver Notes:		Waiver	≥10 sq mi	
Extreme Flood Protection Standard Applies? Pre-Dev Q (cfs) Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Waiver Notes:	Notos			
Standard Applies? No Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Waiver impervious Notes:	Notes.			
Standard Applies? No Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Waiver impervious Notes:	Extreme Flood Pi	rotection	n	
Standard Applies? No Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Vaiver impervious Notes:	LAGEINE HOOG PI	JUECTIO		
Pre-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) 0 Vaiver impervious Notes:	Ctandard	Annlies		
Routed, Post-Dev Q (cfs) Waiver Notes:				
Waiver < 10 ac impervious Notes:				
Notes:	Koutea, Post-De	ev Q (CTS)		
		Waiver		
General Notes	Notes:			
General Notes				
General Notes	 			
	General Notes			

Last Updated 8/28/2018 Summary: Page 2 of 7

vermont Operational Stormwater Permit - Standards Compliance Workbook

Last Updated 8/28/2018 Summary: Page 3 of 7

Conoral Discharge Doint	Information					
General Discharge Point	information	Duna: a at un a un a l	F.	aith's Toyota Fo	al	1
Diaghayaa nais	Project name	Fa	ra	-		
Discharge poir	Discharge point serial number (e.g. S/N 001					-
Latituda (da sissal s	Name of receiving water Latitude (decimal degrees to five decimal places)				er	
•	_	•		43.10688		
Longitude (decimal o	-72.44156		J			
Precipitation Data	* Preciptation	values shall be	obtained from	NOAA Atlas 14	1	
Storm	WQ Storm	1 yr, 24 hr	10 yr, 24 hr	100 yr, 24 hr		
Precipitation (inches)	1.00	2.30	3.91	5.82		
Drainage Area Information	on					
Pre Development Land U	se (acres)					
Landuse	Α	В	С	D	Total]
Grass	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Meadow	0.000	5.932	0.000	0.000	5.932]
Woods	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Existing Impervious	0.000	3.705	0.000	0.000	3.705	
Impervious previous	y authorized un	der 2002 VSMN	=	- 1	0.000	
			Tot	al Pre Site Area	9.637	
Post Development Land	Use (acres)					%
Landuse	A	В	С	D	Total	1
Grass	0.000	4.690	0.000	0.000	4.690	
Meadow	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1
Woods	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1
New Impervious	0.000	1.586	0.000	0.000	1.586	16.5%
Existing for Permit						
Coverage (Treated to New	0.000	0.412	0.000	0.000	0.412	4.3%
Standards)						
		Existing Imper		ermit Coverage	0.567	5.9%
				ped Impervious	2.382	24.7%
	Imperv	ious previously	authorized und		0.000	1
				Total Site Area	9.637	
		Total In	npervious for P	ermit Coverage	4.380	
			•	ced Impervious	0.000	0.0%
	0.344	12.6%				
						•
Information for Calculati	ng T _c by the			Average		
Watershed Lag Method	- · ·			Catchment	Hydraulic	
200 200 200				Slope, Y (%)	Length, I (ft)	
		Pre	e Development	6.1	1872.00	
		Pos	t Development	11	1230.00	

Runoff Calculations			1 yr, 24-hr	10 yr, 24-hr	100 yr, 24-hr	
	elopment runoff vo	0.6840	1.4435	2.5370		
Pre-routed, post deve	· · · · •	0.9092	1.8801	3.3883		
				•		
Tier 1/Runoff Reduction	Practices					
List all Tier 1 practices below v						ards,
except for Green Roofs, which		rge or water q	uality credit. Ple	ease include the a _l	opropriate STP	
worksheet(s) with the applicate Practice	T _v (ac-ft)	Pract	tico	T _V (ac-ft)	Ī	
Infiltration Basin		Fidu	lice	T _V (ac-1t)		
intiltration Basin	1.058					
	ı II					
Runoff Reduction Calcul	ations					
Standard	Re	WQ	СР	Q_{P10}	Q_{P100}	
T _v Required (ac-ft)	0.0583	0.2994	0.2946	0.5458	0.9943	
T _v Provided (ac-ft)	1.0580	1.0580	1.0580	1.0580	1.0580	
T _v Remaining (ac-ft)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Standard met with HCM?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	<u>.</u>	•		•		
Post-Development CN	n/a	91	87	85	86	
CN_{adj}	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Pre-Development CN	n/a	n/a	82	78	75	
Groundwater Recharge S	Standard (Re)					
Standard Applicable?	● Yes ○ No					
Re _v	0.0583					
Standard met with Tier 1	Mar.					
Practices?	Yes					
Recharge Notes:						

Water Quality Treatmen	t Standard (W	/Q)		
	(ac-ft)			Apply Reduction?
WQ _v - New & Existing	0.1900	% Net Reduction	0.0%	● No
WQ _{v -} Redevelopment	0.1094	% Removed Existing Impervious (Redevelopment)	17.6%	No Yes
Total WQ _v	0.2994	impervious (nedevelopinent)		
WQ _v met with Tier 1		ls all imperv	vious treated by	No No
practices	0.2994	•	disconnection?	
WQ_V to be met with Tier 2	0.0000			
and/or Tier 3 practices	0.0000			
!			WQ _v Provided	Τ
	Tier 2 &	3 Water Quality Practice	(ac-ft)	Tier
	-	• Water 24	(,	
1				
		Total WQ _v Provided (ac-ft)	0.0000	ac-ft
		Is the WQ_V Standard met?	Yes	
				4
Water Quality Notes:	Site balancing v	was used to meet standards. 2.	24 acres of reue	eveloped impervious
1		d in S/N 001 will be treated to 1 in to compensate for 0.412 acre	•	
		on to compensate for 0.412 acresses eveloped surface within S/N 001		
Channel Protection Stan				
Standard Applicable?	○ Vas ○ No			ge to drainage
Stallaala Applicasie.	Tes O NO		area ≥1	10 sq.mi
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The channel protection standard I condition method. Additional trea	= =	
Provide Extended Detention for:	l n/a	ac-ft		
Warm or Cold Water	Cold	D	12 hours o	of extended
Fishery?		→ Provide:		ntion
See the Vermont Water Qu	uality Standards	for warm and	С	DR .
cold water	r designations		The Alternative	e Extended Detention
			Method (§2.2.5	5.4) is being used.
Extended Detention STP:	Infiltrati	ion Basin		
Modeling Info: When demons	tratina CP compl	iance with extended detention in a	a hydrologic mode	el use the CN and T
		er 1 practice. The CN _{Adj} takes into	=	
		ilculated by the watershed lag met		
		1		(Watershed
CN_{Adj}	n/a	Post Development T _C (min)	6.3	Lag Method)
Channel Protection Notes:				,

Overbank Flood Protecti	on (Q _{P10})				
Standard Applicable?	Yes No		Direct discharg area ≥1	_	
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The QP10 standard has been fully i	met. No addition	nal STPs are required.	
STP used:	Infiltration Basi	in			
Pre-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs) N/A			
Pre-routed, post-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs) N/A			
Routed, post-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs) N/A			
practice used to meet Q_{P10} is i	not itself a Tier 1 _i	ppliance in a hydrologic model, use to practice. The CN _{Adj} takes into acco lculated by the watershed lag meth	ount the reduction	n in runoff volume	
Pre-Development CN (Flow- weighted composite)	78	Pre Development T _C (min)	22.8	(Watershed	
CN_{Adj}	n/a	Post Development T _C (min)	6.3	Lag Method)	
Overbank Flood Notes:					
Extreme Flood Protectio	n (Q _{P100})				
Standard Applicable?	○ Yes ● No	Waiver (if No is selected):	<10 acres i	mpervious	
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The extreme flood standard has be required.	en fully met. No	additional STPs are	
STP used:		•			
Pre-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs)			
Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop	•	J ,			
Modeling Info: When demonstrating Q_{P100} compliance in a hydrologic model, use the following CN and T_C below, if the practice used to meet Q_{P100} is not a Tier 1 practice. The CN $_{Adj}$ takes into account the reduction in runoff volume achieved through runoff reduction practices. The T_C is calculated by the watershed lag method using CN $_{Adj}$ as CN'.					
Pre-Development CN (Flow- weighted composite)	75	Pre Development T _C (min)	24.5	(Watershed	
CN_{Adj}	n/a	Post Development T _C (min)	6.3	Lag Method)	
Extreme Flood Notes:					