Vermont Wetlands Program Permit Application Database Form

Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules



Application Submittal Instructions

If submitting via US post, include a check in the correct fee amount made payable to the "State of Vermont," and a CD for applications that contain large files (1 MB or greater).

Mail to: Vermont Wetlands Program
Watershed Management Division
One National Life Drive, Main 2
Montpelier, VT 05620-3522

- Applications can also be submitted via email to the following address: anr.wsmdwetlands@vermont.gov
 - If submitting via email, please mail a check in the correct fee amount, made payable to the "State of Vermont," and a copy of the Vermont Wetlands Program Application Database Form (this page) to the address provided above. It is not necessary to mail in a copy of the complete application.

Span#: Vermont Wetlands Project (VWP)# if Known: Project Location Description: 911 street address or direction from nearest intersection Brief Project Summary: Application Type: Individual Permit (multiple wetlands) After the Fact Permit Wetland Determination Individual Permit (single wetland) General Permit Coverage Authorization Permit Amendment: VWP Project #	Applicant Name:	Application	Application Preparer Name:		
Project Location Description: 911 street address or direction from nearest intersection	Town where project is located:	C	County:		
### Project Summary: Application Type: Individual Permit (multiple wetlands) After the Fact Permit Wetland Determination Individual Permit (single wetland) General Permit Coverage Authorization Permit Amendment: WWP Project #	Span#:	Ve	ermont Wetland	Is Project (VWP)# if Known:	
Application Type: Individual Permit (multiple wetlands) After the Fact Permit Wetland Determination Individual Permit (single wetland) General Permit Coverage Authorization Permit Amendment: VWP Project # Existing Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply) Residential (single family) Residential (subdivision) Undeveloped Agriculture Transportation Forestry Parks/Rec/Trail Institutional Industrial/Commercial Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply) Residential (single family) Residential (subdivision) Undeveloped Agriculture Transportation Forestry Parks/Rec/Trail Institutional Industrial/Commercial Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that apply) Buildings Utilities Parking Septic/Well Stormwater Driveway Park/Path Agriculture Pond Lawn Dry Hydrant Beaver Dam Alteration Silviculture Road Aesthetics No Impact Other: Wetland and Buffer Impact Type: (Check all that apply) Dredge Drain Cut Vegetation Stormwater Transport/Fill Other: Wetland Delineation Date(s): Wetland Improvements Buffer Zone Improvements Reason for Improvements Restoration: S.f. Correction of Violation S.f. Correction S.f. Correction S.f. To offset permit impacts Conservation: S.f. Conservation: S.f. Conservation: S.f. To offset permit impacts S.f. Conservation: S	Project Location Description: 911 street address or direction from nearest intersection				
Individual Permit (single wetland) General Permit Coverage Authorization Permit Amendment: VWP Project #	Brief Project Summary:				
Existing Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply)	Application Type: □Individual Permit (multiple wetlands) □After the Fact Permit □Wetland Determination				
Agriculture	□ Individual Permit (single wetland) □ General Permit Coverage Authorization □ Permit Amendment: VWP Project #				
Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply) Residential (single family) Residential (subdivision) Undeveloped Agriculture Transportation Forestry Parks/Rec/Trail Institutional Industrial/Commercial Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that apply) Buildings Utilities Parking Septic/Well Stormwater Driveway Park/Path Agriculture Pond Lawn Dry Hydrant Beaver Dam Alteration Silviculture Road Aesthetics No Impact Other: Wetland amd Buffer Impact Type: (Check all that apply) Dredge Drain Cut Vegetation Stormwater Trench/Fill Other: Wetland Delineation Date(s): Wetland Improvements Buffer Zone Improvements Reason for Improvements Restoration: s.f. Restoration: s.f. Correction of Violation Creation: s.f. Creation: s.f. Conservation: s.f. To offset permit impacts Enhancement: s.f. Voluntary Conservation: s.f. Conservation: s.f. Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot. Fees will auto-calculate. Total Wetland Impact Square feet (s.f.) Wetland Impact Fee: (\$0.75/sf) \$ (for correct a violation) square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) \$ Total Wetland Impact Square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) \$ Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impacts Square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: \$ (Feat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$					
Agriculture Transportation Forestry Parks/Rec/Trail Institutional Industrial/Commercial	- ·				
Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that apply) Buildings Utilities Parking Septic/Well Stormwater Driveway Park/Path Agriculture Pond Lawn Dry Hydrant Beaver Dam Alteration Silviculture Road Aesthetics No Impact Other:	Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply) □Resi	dential (single	family) Resider	ntial (subdivision) Undeveloped	
Driveway	☐ Agriculture ☐ Transportation ☐ Forestry ☐ P	arks/Rec/Tra	il □Institutior	nal Industrial/Commercial	
Road	Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that apply) ☐ Buildings ☐ Utilities ☐ Parking ☐ Septic/Well ☐ Stormwater				
Wetland and Buffer Impact Type: (Check all that apply) □ Dredge □ Drain □ Cut Vegetation □ Stormwater □ Trench/Fill □ Other: □ Wetland Delineation Date(s): Wetland Delineation Date(s): Buffer Zone Improvements Reason for Improvements Restoration: s.f. Creation: s.f. Creation: s.f. □ To offset permit impacts □ Dreation of Violation □ Dreating □ Dreation □ Dreating	□Driveway □Park/Path □Agriculture □Pond □L	awn □Dry	Hydrant □Bea	ver Dam Alteration Silviculture	
Wetland Delineation Date(s): Wetland Improvements	☐Road ☐Aesthetics ☐No Impact ☐Other:				
Wetland Delineation Date(s): Wetland Improvements Buffer Zone Improvements Reason for Improvements Restoration: s.f. Restoration: s.f. □ Correction of Violation Creation: s.f. □ To offset permit impacts □ To offset permit impacts Enhancement: s.f. □ Voluntary Conservation: s.f. □ Voluntary Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot. Fees will auto-calculate. Total Wetland Impact Impact (qualified linear projects only) square feet (s.f.) Wetland Impact Fee: (\$0.75/sf) \$ After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) \$ Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) \$ Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland and Buffer Impact Type: (Check all that apply) □ Dredge □Drain □Cut Vegetation □Stormwater				
Wetland Improvements Buffer Zone Improvements Reason for Improvements Restoration: s.f. Restoration: s.f. □ Correction of Violation Creation: s.f. □ To offset permit impacts □ To offset permit impacts Enhancement: s.f. □ To offset permit impacts □ Voluntary Conservation: s.f. □ Voluntary □ Voluntary Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot. Fees will auto-calculate. Total Wetland Impact (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (s.f.) Wetland Impact Fee: (\$0.75/sf) \$ Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (s.f.) Wetland Clearing Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (s.f.) (Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) \$ Administrative Fee: \$					
Restoration: s.f. Creation: s.f. Creation: s.f. Creation: s.f. Creation: s.f. Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: s.f. Conservation:	welland Denneation Date(5).				
Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: S.f. Enhancement: S.f. Conservation: S.f. Enhancement: S.f. Conservation: S.f. Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot. Fees will auto-calculate. Total Wetland Impact (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact Square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) (Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impact Square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee:	. ,	Zone Impro	vements	Reason for Improvements	
Conservation: S.f. Conservation: S.f.	Wetland Improvements Buffer	Zone Impro			
Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot. Fees will auto-calculate. Total Wetland Impact square feet (s.f.) Wetland Impact Fee:(\$0.75/sf) \$ Total Wetland Clearing square feet (s.f.) Wetland Clearing Fee:(\$0.25/sf) \$ After The Fact Wetland Impact square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) \$ (to correct a violation) \$ Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration:	Zone Impro	s.f.	☐Correction of Violation	
Total Wetland Impact square feet (s.f.) Wetland Impact Fee:(\$0.75/sf) \$ Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (s.f.) Wetland Clearing Fee:(\$0.25/sf) \$ After The Fact Wetland Impact square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) \$ (to correct a violation) (Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00)	Wetland ImprovementsBufferRestoration:s.f.Restoration:Creation:s.f.Creation:	•	s.f. s.f.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts	
(minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing square feet (s.f.) Wetland Clearing Fee:(\$0.25/sf) \$ (qualified linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact square feet (s.f.) (Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00)	Wetland ImprovementsBufferRestoration:s.f.Restoration:Creation:s.f.Creation:Enhancement:s.f.Enhancement:	•	s.f. s.f. s.f.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts	
(qualified linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (s.f.) After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) (Required for after the fact permit applications) \$	Wetland ImprovementsBufferRestoration:s.f.Restoration:Creation:s.f.Creation:Enhancement:s.f.Enhancement:Conservation:s.f.Conservation:	·	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	□Correction of Violation □To offset permit impacts □Voluntary	
(to correct a violation) (Required for after the fact permit applications) Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) \$ Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee:	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the near Total Wetland Impact square feet (est square fo	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	□Correction of Violation □To offset permit impacts □Voluntary ito-calculate.	
Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to the nearest square foot Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the neared square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing square feet (square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF)	est square fo	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. pot. Fees will au ad Impact Fee:(\$0.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$	
Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.) Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Additional Fees Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: \$ (Flat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the near region of the property of the prop	est square for s.f.) Wetlan s.f.) Wetlan s.f.) After the	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. oot. Fees will au ad Impact Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$	
Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee:	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the near region of the property of the prope	est square for s.f.) Wetlan s.f.) Wetlan s.f.) After the (Require the second se	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. ad Impact Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$0. and Fee Fact Wetland Feed for after the fact p	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$	
(Fat fee of \$200.00) Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) \$ Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the near Total Wetland Impact (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (minus incompact (minus inc	est square for s.f.) Wetland s.f.) Wetland s.f.) After the (Require to the nearest	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. ad Impact Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$0. ad Feed for after the fact peet square foot	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$ permit applications)	
Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00 Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the neared square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (minus linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (minus linear projects and Calculations: Round square feet (minus linear projects only)	est square for s.f.) Wetland s.f.) Wetland s.f.) After the (Require to the nearest	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. ad Impact Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$0. ad Clearing Fee:(\$0. ad Feed for after the fact peet square foot	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$ permit applications)	
Administrative Fee: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the neared square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (minus linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (minus linear projects and Calculations: Round square feet (minus linear projects only)	est square for s.f.) Wetland s.f.) Wetland s.f.) After the (Require to the neare s.f.) Buffer Agricul (Flat fee	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$ permit applications) Sign Check here: \$	
Make Checks Payable to: State of Vermont Total Check Amount: \$	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the neared square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (minus linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (minus linear projects and Calculations: Round square feet (minus linear projects only)	est square for s.f.) Wetland s.f.) Wetland s.f.) After the form (Require to the nearest.f.) Buffer Agricul (Flat fee Minimu	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$ permit applications) 5/sf) \$ sion Check here: \$ e: (\$50.00) \$	
	Wetland Improvements Buffer Restoration: s.f. Restoration: Creation: s.f. Creation: Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement: Conservation: s.f. Conservation: Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the neared square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) square feet (minus linear clear, including ATF) Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only) square feet (minus linear projects only) After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) square feet (minus linear projects and Calculations: Round square feet (minus linear projects only)	est square for s.f.) Wetland s.f.) Wetland s.f.) After the neares.f.) Buffer Agricul (Flat fee Minimul Requires	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	☐Correction of Violation ☐To offset permit impacts ☐Voluntary Ito-calculate. 75/sf) \$ 0.25/sf) \$ ee: (0.75/sf) \$ permit applications) 5/sf) \$ sion Check here: \$ e: (\$50.00) \$ fee is less than \$50.00	

Vermont Individual Wetland Permit Application and Determination Petition

Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules



Applicant information: If the applicant is someone other that	n the landowner, the landowner informa	tion must be included belo)W
Applicant Name: Hunter Kaltsas			
Address: 2218 Route 100	City/Town: S. Londonderry	State Vermont	Zip: 05155
Phone Number: 802-856-7165	Email Address: hunterexvt@gmail.o	com	
Applicant Certification:			
By signing this application you are certifying that all of the infe	ormation contained within is true, a	ccurate, and complete to	o the best of
your knowledge. Original signature is required.			
	a /	•	
	11/11		
Applicant Signature:	16/17	Date:	
J			
Landowner Information: Landowner must sign the application	n. If landowner is different from the app	licant this section must be	filled out
■Check this box if landowner is the same as the a			
Landowner Name:			
Address:	City/Town	State:	Zip:
Phone Number:	Email Address:	_	
Landowner Easement: Attach copies of any easements, agreeme		mission, and agreement w	ith the landowner
stating who will be responsible for meeting the terms and conditions	of the permit. List the attachment for	this information in this s	ection. Describe
the nature of the agreement or easement in the space provided			
Landowner Certification:			
By signing this application you are certifying that all the inform	nation contained within in true, accu	urata and complete to t	ha hast of vour
knowledge. Original signature is required.	nation contained within is true, acc	mate, and complete to the	ne best of your
Knowledge. Original signature is required.			
1 4./		1.1	_
Landowner Signature:		Date: 2 16	17
Landownor digrature. 4			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			····
Application Preparer Information: Consultant, engineer, of the applicant or landow		le for filling out the applica	tion, if other than
Application Preparer Name: Nancy B Rendall	Organization/Company: Blue	Moon Environmental, In	iC
Address: PO Box 368	City/Town Concord	State: NH	Zip: 03302
Phone Number: 603-856-6391	Email Address: nbrendall.br	ne@metrocast.net	
Application Preparer Certification:			
By signing this application you are certifying that all of the infe	ormation contained within is true, a	ccurate, and complete to	o the best of
your knowledge. Original signature is required.			
junior and an anglian and an additional		, 1	
1	1 4 / .	2/10/	~~_
Application Preparer Signature:	1AUL	Date: 3/29/1	

Handwritten signatures are also accepted

1. Location of wetland and project:

Location description should include the road the wetland is located on, the compass direction of the wetland in relation to the road, 911 street address if available, and any other distinguishing features.

2. Site visit date(s) and attendees:

A site visit is **required** before the application can be called complete

2.1 Date of Visit(s) with State District Wetland Ecologist

2.2. List of people present for site visit(s) including Ecologist, landowner, and representatives.

3. Wetland Classification:

For multiple wetlands fill out the multiple wetlands table for sections 1 and 3 through 1

3.1. The wetland is a Class II wetland because :

3.2. Section 4.6 Presumption

If the wetland meets the Section 4.6 Presumption, it does so primarily because:

4. Description of the Entire Wetland:

Answer the following questions regarding the entire wetland, which includes all wetland areas connected to the wetland proposed for impact. Answers may be estimates based on desktop review when the wetland extends past the investigation area (parcel boundary). Specific questions about the wetland in the project area will follow. For multiple wetlands, fill out the multiple wetlands table.

4.1. Size of Complex in Acres:

The size of the complex can be obtained from the Wetland Inventory Map for mapped wetlands, or best estimation based on review of aerial photography or site visit. This is not the size of the of the delineated wetland on the subject property unless the entirety of the wetland is represented in the delineation.

4.2. Vegetation Cover Types Present:

List all wetland types in the wetland or wetland complex and their percent cover.

For example: 50 acres of softwood forested swamp; or 30% scrub swamp, 70% emergent wetland

4.3. Landscape Position:

Where is the wetland located on the landscape?

For example: Bottom of a basin, edge of a stream, shore of a lake, etc.

4.4. Hydrology:

Describe the main source of water for the entire wetland. List any river, stream, lakes, or ponds

4.4.1. Direction of Flow:

For example: Stream flows from north to south through the wetland complex, or the wetland drains generally to the southwest.

4.4.2. Influence of Hydrology on the Entire Wetland:

For example: The river provides floodwater to the wetland in the spring.

4.4.3. Relation of Entire Wetland to the Project Area:

The distance between the project area and any nearby surface waters

NP Application December 2015
4.4.4. Entire Wetland Hydroperiod: Discuss the frequency and duration of flooding, ponding, and/or soil saturation
4.5. Surrounding Landuse of the Entire Wetland:
For example: Rural residential and forested; Agricultural and undeveloped
4.6. Relation of the Entire Wetland to Other Nearby Wetlands: Provide any information on wetlands or wetland complexes that are close enough to contribute to the overall function of the wetland in question.
4.7. Pre-project Cumulative Impacts to the Entire Wetland: Identify any cumulative ongoing impacts outside of the proposed project that may influence the wetland. Examples include but are not limited to: Wetland encroachments on and off the subject property, land use management in or surrounding the wetland, or development that influences hydrology or water quality. List any past Vermont Wetland Permits or CUD's related to this property.
5. Description of Subject Wetland and Buffer: Subject wetland is defined as the area of wetland in the project vicinity, but not limited to the portion of the wetland to be directly impacted by the project. For the purposes of this application, the subject wetland should encompass any portion of the wetland that could either be directly or indirectly impacted by the project, as defined by chemical, physical, or biological characteristics. This may include the entire wetland area, or wetland area off property. For multiple wetlands, fill out the multiple wetlands table.
5.1. Context of Subject Wetland: Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the entire wetland described in section 4 above. For example: Upslope, narrow eastern "finger", 400 ft. from open water portion.
5.2. Subject Wetland Land Use: For example: Mowed lawn, old field, naturally vegetated. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the subject wetland.
5.3. Subject Wetland Vegetation: List dominant wetland vegetation cover type and associated dominant plant species.
5.4. Subject Wetland Soils: Use the USDA NRCS information where possible and use the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description

5.5. Subject Wetland Hydrology:Use the description from the ACOE Delineation Manual

5.6. Buffer Zone: Describe the bu	uffer zone of the subject wetland (50	foot envelope of land adjacent to wetland boundary).
	Land Use:	
		ld field, paved road, and residential lawns, etc.
Descrik	be any previous and ongoing disturba	ance in the buffer zone.
5.6.2. Buffer	Vegetation:	
List the	e vegetation cover type and dominan	t plant species.
5.6.3. Buffer	Soils:	
Use US	SDA NRCS information where possib	ble, and the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description.
		fined in the Vermont Wetland Rules Section 5):
	ons are present in the entire wetland	
☐ Flood/Storm Stor	rage ndwater Protection	☐ RTE Species ☐ Education & Research
☐ Fish Habitat	idwater i Totection	☐ Recreation/Economic
☐ Wildlife Habitat		☐ Open Space/Aesthetics
☐ Exemplary Natur	ral Community	☐ Erosion Control
Functions and Values	: For each function and value:	
1 Eva	aluate the entire wetland and check	all that apply I las Matland Inventory Mans for affaits areas
	aluate the entire wetland and check a aluate how the wetland in the project	all that apply. Use Wetland Inventory Maps for offsite areas
	plain how the project will not result in	
·		·
Include	any information on specific avoidance	ce and minimization measures.
If more t	than one wetland complex is involved	d, provide a function and value checklist for
	tland complex. In addition fill out the	
	,	'
7. Water Storage for F	lood Water and Storm Runoff	
	and Black to be a small and to America	faller vices who sized and constating above statistics
	and likely to be significant: Any of the provides this function	following physical and vegetative characteristics
maioato trio wettaria	provided the fariotion	
☐ Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstructed inlet.		
□ Dhysical and		
		nse, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody nwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water
	evaporation and transpiration.	Twater ranion during pour nows and radinates water
•		
☐ If a stream is present, it's course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface		
flows in the	portion of the wetland that floods.	
☐ Physical evid	dence of seasonal flooding or ponding	ng such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees,
	ebris deposits, or standing water.	ig out at water stamps reares, water marks on troos,
☐ Hydrologic o	or hydraulic study indicates wetland a	attenuates flooding
If any of the above b	noves are checked the wetland	I provides this function. Complete the following to
		ove or below a moderate level. If none of the
	wetland provides this function	

Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff Continued
☐ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
☐ Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).
☐ Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland.
\square Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures.
Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
☐ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a higher level.
\square History of downstream flood damage to public or private property.
☐ Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by loss or reduction of the water storage function.
 □ Developed public or private property □ Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion □ Important habitat for aquatic life
\square The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.
□ Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function.
 □ Developed public or private property. □ Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion. □ Important habitat for aquatic life.
\square The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated
☐ Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.
 □ A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas. □ Relatively impervious soils. □ Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.
7.1 Subject Wetland Contribution to Water Storage: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above
7.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, and compensation measures relevant to this function.

8. Surface and Ground Water Protection:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
☐ Constricted or no outlets.
☐ Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.
☐ Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.
$\hfill\square$ Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.
\square Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.
□ Presence of seeps or springs.
$\hfill\square$ Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.
\square Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.
☐ Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.
☐ Wetland recharges a drinking water source.
☐ Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.
☐ Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.
☐ Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.
☐ The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.
If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
☐ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>lower</i> level.
\square Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished nutrient uptake.
\square Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
□ Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
\square Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
☐ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
\square The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
☐ The wetland provides flows to Class A surface water. (Check ANR Atlas)
\Box The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
\square The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.

8.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Water Protection: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
Explain now the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
0.0 Ctatament of Na Hadria Advance Immedite Confess and One and Water Briefs at an
8.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Surface and Ground Water Protection</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function.
Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.
9. Fish Habitat:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability.
 Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.
\square Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike.
 Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.
The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water and food sources.
9.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Fish Habitat: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
9.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <i>Fish Habitat</i> :
Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.
molade any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

10. Wildlife Habitat
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
 Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands.
☐ Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone.
Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.
Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon.
 Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for this species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles.
 Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.
☐ Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter, or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers, and streams.
Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population.
☐ Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of uncommon Vermont amphibian species including:
☐ Wood frog, Jefferson salamander, blue-spotted salamander, or spotted salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.
 Northern dusky salamander and the spring salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.
☐ The four-toed salamander, Fowler's toad, western or boreal chorus frog, or other amphibians, found in Vermont of similar significance.
Supports or has the habitat to support populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to, pickerel frog, northern leopard frog, mink frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of species include large marsh systems with open water components.
Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: wood turtle, northern map turtle, eastern musk turtle, spotted turtle, spiny softshell, eastern ribbonsnake, northern watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance.
Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile species, including smooth greensnake, DeKay's brownsnake, or other more common wetland-associated species.
☐ Meets four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:
\square Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not

Wildlife Habitat Continued
limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog.
☐ The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp.
\square Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream.
☐ Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land.
$\hfill\square$ Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water.
☐ One of the following:
Hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile.
\square Hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile.
Within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected.
☐ Wetland or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal government and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation.
☐ Contains evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species
If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
☐ Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
☐ The wetland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply).
☐ The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use.
\square The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance.
The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species.
☐ Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.
\square The wetland is large in size and high in quality.
\square The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above.
\square Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor.
\square The wetland has been identified as a locally important wildlife habitat by an ANR Wildlife Biologist.

10.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Wildlife Habitat Functions: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
10.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Wildlife Habitat</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function.
Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.
11. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
□ Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for this function
The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:
Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.
\square Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:
☐ Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;
\square Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;
\square A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;
\square A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or
\square A large wetland complex containing examples of several wetland community types.
List species or communities of concern:
11.1. Subject Wetland Proximity to Exemplary Natural Communities
·
44.2 Statement of No Lindus Adverse Impact to Evennland Watland Natural Community
11.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to Exemplary Wetland Natural Community: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

12. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
☐ Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.
The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:
☐ There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;
☐ There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;
☐ There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;
☐ There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).
List name of species and ranking:
12.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to RTE Habitat: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
12.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species Habitat: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

13. Education and Research in Natural Sciences:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
\square Owned by or leased to a public entity dedicated to education or research.
☐ History of use for education or research.
☐ Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.
13.1. Subject Wetland Education and Research Potential: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
Explain now the Subject wettand contributes to the function listed above.
13.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to Education and Research in Natural Sciences: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.
14. Recreational Value and Economic Benefits:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
☐ Used for, or contributes to, recreational activities.
☐ Provides economic benefits.
☐ Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.
☐ Used for harvesting of wild foods.
Comments:
44.4. Outhings Westland Decreasional and Feenania Value
14.1. Subject Wetland Recreational and Economic Value: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the value listed above.
14.2 Statement of No Lindus Adverse Import to Decreational Value and Economic Densites
14.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Recreational Value and Economic Benefits</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value.
Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.

15. Open Space and Aesthetics:
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
\square Can be readily observed by the public; and
☐ Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or
\square Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;
\square Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.
Comments:
15.1. Subject Wetland Aesthetic Value: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the value listed above.
15.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to Open Space and Aesthetics:
Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.
16. Erosion Control Through Binding and Stabilizing
☐ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
\square Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:
 Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
\square Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.
 Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.
What type of erosive forces are present?
☐ Lake fetch and waves
☐ High current velocities:
☐ Water level influenced by upstream impoundment

Erosion Control Through Binding and Stabilization Continued
If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a <u>moderate level</u> .
☐ Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
☐ The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.
☐ Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <u>higher</u> level.
☐ The stream contains high sinuosity.
☐ Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.
16.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Erosion Control: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.
16.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <i>Erosion Control:</i>
Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.
17. Project Description:
17.1. Overall Project Purpose:
Description of the basic project and why it is needed. Partial projects with no clear purpose will not be accepted.
For example: six-lot residential subdivision; expansion of an existing commercial building, building a single family residence.
17.2. Description of Project Component Impacting Wetland or Buffer:
Explain in general terms which portions of the project will impact wetlands or buffer zones. For example: Cross the wetland with a driveway to construct a residential subdivision, upgrade existing road through buffer to improve access, extend a trail system.

ve Application December 2015
17.3. Acreage of Parcel(s) or Easements(s): Acreage of subject property.
17.4. Acreage of Project Area: Acreage of area involved in the project.
Thirdage of area inverved in the project.
18. Project Details: Provide details regarding specific impacts to the wetland and buffer zone.
For multiple wetlands fill out the multiple wetland table.
18.1. Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffer Zone Dimensions:
List portions of the project that will specifically impact the wetland or buffer zone and their dimensions. For example: driveway crossing with 16' wide fill; installation of buried sewer force main with 5' trench Including fill footprint; addition of Stormwater outfall which directs flow to northern portion of wetland
18.2. Bridges and Culverts:
Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details. List any stream alteration permits that are required or obtained where perennial streams or rivers are involved.
18.3. Construction Sequence: Describe any details pertaining to the work planned in the wetland and buffer in terms of sequence or
phasing that is relevant. Describe the construction limits of disturbance, how those will be marked, and check to ensure these are shown on the site plans as well.
18.4. Stormwater Design** List any stormwater permits obtained or applied for. Describe stormwater and/or erosion controls proposed. ** Erosion prevention is required in order to prevent sediment from entering the wetland.
18.5. Permanent Demarcation of Limit of Impacts** Describe any boulders, fencing, signage, or other memorialization that provides permanent on-the-ground boundaries for the limits of disturbance for ongoing uses. **Permanent demarcations are required for projects with ongoing activities in or near wetlands or buffer zones such as houses, yards, woody clearing or parking areas, and needs to be depicted on the site plans.

19. Wetland and Buffer Zone Impacts:

For multiple wetlands provide narrative overview for each section below, and fill out the Multiple Wetland Tables

19.1. Wetland Impacts:

Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category. Add After-the-Fact impacts here too. **Round to the nearest square foot**

Permanent Wetland Fill	s.f.
Temporary Wetland Impact	s.f.
Other Permanent Wetland Impact	s.f.
(this number includes clearing of woody	
vegetation, dredging, and does not include fill)	
Total Wetland Impact:	s.f.

Describe in detail the proposed impact to wetlands

For example: Fill for road crossing, temporary impacts for trench and fill related to utility installation.

General narrative required here even for projects with multiple wetlands and impacts

19.2. Buffer Zone Impacts:

Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category.

Temporary Buffer Impact	s.f.
Permanent Buffer Impact	s.f.
Total Buffer Impact:	s.f.

Describe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones

For example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment extending into buffer zone.

General narrative required here even for projects with multiple wetlands and impacts.

19.3. Cumulative Impacts:

List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct and indirect impacts on the functions of the wetland. **For example:** Increased noise from parking lot, vegetation management, inputs from stormwater pond outlet, reduction in flood storage volume from the addition of fill from the project.

20. Mitigation Sequence: Before you begin, please read all of Section 20 to respond most appropriately to specific questions. Questions specifically related to Section 9.5b of the Vermont Wetland Rules.
20.1. Avoidance of Wetland Impacts:
20.1.1. Can the activity be located on another site owned or controlled by the applicant, or reasonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. Cite any alternative sites and explain why they were not chosen.
20.1.2. Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone? If not, indicate why. Explain the alternatives you have explored for avoiding the wetland and buffer onsite, And why they are not feasible.
20.2. Avoidance to the Impact to Functions and Values:
20.2.1. If the proposed activity cannot be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? ☐ Yes ☐ No
20.2.2. What design alternatives were examined to avoid impacts to wetland function? For example: Use of matting, relocation of footprint, etc.
20.2.3. What steps have been taken to minimize the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts to wetland functions and values? Include information on project size reduction and relocation.
20.2.4. Explain how the proposed project represents the least impact alternative design. Explain why other alternatives, which you described above, were not chosen.
20.3. Minimization and Restoration:
20.3.1. If avoidance of adverse effects on protected functions cannot be practically achieved, has the proposed activity been planned to minimize adverse impacts on the protected function? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
20.3.2. What measures will be used during construction and on an ongoing basis to protect the wetland and buffer zone? For example: Stormwater treatment, signs, fencing, etc.

Minimization and Restoration Continued
20.3.3. Has a plan been developed for the prompt restoration of any adverse impacts on protected functions? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Restoration Narrative: For example: Planting along the stream.
Quantification of Restoration:
Wetland Area (sqft) Sqft) Functions/Value s Addressed
20.4. Compensation:
Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program.
If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan.

21. Wetland Determination:
If the application involves a wetland determination please answer the following. For multiple wetlands provide
narrative overview for each section below, and fill out the Multiple Wetland Tables.
☐ Wetland is mapped or contiguous to the Vermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map
☐ Wetland is not mapped on or contiguous to the Vermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map
□ wettand is not mapped on or contiguous to the vermont significant wettand inventory map
21.1. Reason for Petition:
Please choose one from the dropdown menu.
21.2. Determination Narrative:
Please provide any narrative to support the petition for a wetland determination here, including
previous decisions by the Secretary or Water Board.
previous decisions by the decretary of water board.

22. Supportin	_	RIAL REQI	UIRED TO CALL A	PPLICATION COM	MPLETE	
	The Vermont	ation map t Natural Re	that is 8 ½" x 11" ar esources Atlas is ap ds at a minimum.		GS topography map base	e layer,
		Date			Title	
		ied below.			land delineation and buffe permanent memorialization	n.
	Title			Author	Date	Date of Last Revision
22.2	**!! 6 Aum.	Carna of E	ingineer Wetland	Dalinastian Forms	·	
22.3.					s sampled, and number o	f paired plots
Attachme		Rang	e of Collection Dates	Vegeta	tion Cover Types	# of Paired Plots
	Examples in GIS shapefile	other docui clude but s, addition	mentation that supp		ements, agreements, rest	oration/plan,
Date	Last Re	vision	Author		Title	

23. Abutting Landowners


Please provide abutting landowner information so that all persons owning property within, or adjacent to, the affected wetland area of buffer zone can be notified during the public notice period. **Please use additional sheets if necessary**.

23.1. Abutting Land Owner Information: Please list	as first names first followed by last name
1. Name:	16. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
2. Name:	17. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
3. Name:	18. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
4. Name:	19. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
5. Name:	20. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
6. Name:	21. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
7. Name:	22. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
8. Name:	23. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
9. Name:	24. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
10. Name:	25. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
11. Name:	26. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
12. Name:	27. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
13. Name:	28. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
14. Name:	29. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
15. Name:	30. Name:
Street/Road:	Street/Road:
StreevRoad: City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Gity/GiaiG/Zip.	Gity/Gtate/Zip.

24. Modified Distribution (Newspaper Notification): In situations where there is an application within a large wetland or
buffer zone that has a large number of landowners, applicants can choose to limit the distribution list with a
supplemental newspaper notification. At a minimum the applicant must 1) provide notice to immediate abutters,
2) provide notice to all persons owning property containing the wetland or buffer within 500 ft. of the project area, and
3) shall have the VWP publish notice of the application in a local newspaper generally circulating in the area where the
wetland is located. **The applicant will be billed directly by the newspaper listed. Use of newspaper notification
may extend the notice period, depending on when the notice posts in the newspaper**
Name of Newspaper(s)

Natural Resources Atlas VERMONT Vermont Agency of Natural Resources

vermont.gov



611.0 306.00 611.0 Meters WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere 1002 Ft. 1cm = 120 © Vermont Agency of Natural Resources THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION

DISCLAIMER: This map is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. ANR and the State of Vermont make no representations of any kind, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, or fitness for a particular use, nor are any such warranties to be implied with respect to the data on this map.


1: 12,018


June 9. 2016

VERM ONT Lake NEW Concord HAMPSHIRE

NOTES

Map created using ANR's Natural Resources Atlas





Project/Site: KATSAS - ROUTE 30/100 1 COLE FOND RD City/County: Jamaica/Windham sampling Date: 10/7/16
Applicant/Owner: HUNTER KALTSAS State: VT Sampling Point: T1P1
Investigator(s): NANCY RONDAU Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERLINE Local relief (concave, convex, none): 65-NTCE 5LOPE Slope (%): 0 - 8
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R Lat: 43,141110 Long: -72,825347 Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: 44C - Mundal fine 5andy 10am NWI classification: UPLAND
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, et
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _X Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No _X Within a Wetland?
nyund Suit Flesent?
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)
· ·
HYDROLOGY ,
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Debosis (bz) — — Oxidized Milzospheres on Living Noots (Cs) — Saturation Visible on Aenat manery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Water Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes NoX (includes capillary fringe)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No (includes capillary fringe)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes NoX (includes capillary fringe)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes NoX Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes NoX (includes capillary fringe)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Feld Observations: Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Feld Observations: Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Fach No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Surface Water Present?
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Surface Water Present?
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Surface Water Present?
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Surface Water Present?
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Fach No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Fach No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.				Sampling Point: TIP
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 RAD(U5)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Brtula Papyrifera		Y	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
2. Pinus strobus	8	7	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
3. Prunys serotina	8	7	FACU	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)
4. Abjes balsamea		N	FAC	
5. Acer rubrum	- - 1	N	FAC	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
			TAL	
				Prevalence index worksheet:
7	35			
E PADULA		= Total Co	ver	OBL species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 RADIUS)	25	V	CAs.	FACW species 0 x2 = 0 FAC species 1 x3 = 33
1. Prunus serotina	<u>25</u>	1	FACU	FACU species 1000 164x 4 = 1000 656
2. Trynus Vivainiana		4	FACU	UPL species x5 =
3. Betula papyviteria	<u> </u>	-	FACU	Column Totals: (A) (B)
4. Fagus granditolia	_5_	_N_	FACU	175 689
5				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.9
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
,	<u> </u>	= Total Co	ver	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 RADIUS)				3 - Prevalence Index is \$3:01
1. Pteridium aquilinum	50	7,	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Dryopteris rampyloptera	12	N.	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Rubus iddeus	\	N	FACU	
4. Abjes balsame a		N	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				
6				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
11.				
12.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
	10 ^L T	= Total Co	ver	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				- Address of the Addr
1. NONE				
2				
3	· 			Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes No
		= Total Co	ver	riesenti lesNO
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate				I.

	-7
Sampling Point:	HPI .
Camping Cont.	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docum	nent the i	ndicator	or confir	n the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix	 %	Color (moist)	x Feature:	S Type ¹	_Loc ²	Toutura	Domonto
(inches)	Color (moist)			%	Type	LUC	<u>Texture</u>	Remarks
<u> </u>	1048 3/2						Sandy Logar	
_14-20	10YR 416	700	****				F. sandy lann	
								
							·	
								
				· 				
							·	···
							·	
				·			· ——	
								2
		letion, RM	Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.		PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Hydric Soil I			Polyvalue Belov	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	R.		uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
· —	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B))	, , ,		Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi			Thin Dark Surfa					ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4) I Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky N Loamy Gleyed			, L)		rface (S7) (LRR K, L) ue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Da	rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
. ——	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su Depleted Dark S					nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	lucky Mineral (S1) sleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress		1)			podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	ledox (S5)		-				Red Par	rent Material (F21)
	Matrix (S6) rface (S7) (LRR R, I	#I DA 1/0E	2)					allow Dark Surface (TF12) Explain in Remarks)
Dark Out	nace (or) (Entry,	ILION 145E	•)				0.101 (2	Deplair in Terranco)
1			tland hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	disturbe	d or problematic.	
1	ayer (if observed): N0N≎ 0		G17					
Depth (inc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()				Hydric Soil F	Present? Yes No X
Remarks:								
Tin	VO WEEL FORM	* Albert	dictions	boo	usella silla s	and the	for a la	· landon
1 14	ie was july	8 7011	disturbance	- 1XH	PAVII	wary	ICA ICA) (214414)
							_	
								,e.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region Project/Site: KALTSAS - ROUTE 30 100 TOUE POND RZ City/County: TAMAICA WINDHAM Sampling Date: 10 7 16 Applicant/Owner: HUNTER KAUSAS State: VT Sampling Point: TIP Investigator(s): NANCY RENDALL Section, Township, Range: _ Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): DEP CESSION Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>CONCAVE</u> Slope (%): <u>0 - 3</u> Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R Lat: 43.14110 Long: -72.825347 Datum: Soil Map Unit Name: 44C - Mundal Fine Sandy loam _____NWI classification: PSAF No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____ Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -- Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Is the Sampled Area Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? within a Wetland? Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? X__ No. If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) **HYDROLOGY** Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Surface Water (A1) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10) _ Aquatic Fauna (B13) __ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ★ High Water Table (A2) Marl Deposits (B15) X Saturation (A3) __ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) Sediment Deposits (B2) ____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) __ Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) __ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) Iron Deposits (B5) __ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) __ FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Yes No Depth (inches): 4 Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): SUCFACE Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No ___ Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

1	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 (ADUS)	,	Species?		Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Trunus serotina	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Abies balsamea	_10_	1	FAC_	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata:(B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 30 (a/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7.	20			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
م المسين	_20_	= Total Co	ver	OBL species 100 x 1 = 100
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 RADIUS)	~^	5 I	٠. ١	FACW species $\frac{40}{10}$ $\times 2 = \frac{30}{10}$
1. Alnus incana		<u> </u>	FACU	FAC species 13 x 4 = 52
2. Trunus socotina		<u>N</u>	FACU	UPL species x 5 =
3. Spiraea latifolia		_N	FACW	Column Totals: 172 (A) 280 (B)
4. ropylus grandidentata		\overline{N}	FACU	
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	24	= Total Co	ver	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 PADIUS)				X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
1. Sphagnum Sp?	_80_		OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Onochea Sensibilis	<u> 20</u>		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Juncus offusus	70_	4_	OBL	The disease of brodels and continued by days.
4. Rubus hispidus		N	FACIN	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Symphystrichum morge-gnalize	- 3	7	FACW	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6. Symphystrichum lanceolatum 7.		_N	FA(W)	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	128_	= Total Co	ver	height.

_ = Total Cover

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

			0.3
Sampling	Point:	\ \	Y_

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe t	to the depth	needed to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicato	ors.)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	~~~ -	Redo Color (moist)	x Features	Type ¹	_Loc ²	Tosturo		Domodio	
(inches)				%			Texture	. 	Remarks	
0-12	1048 2 1						Mucky prā			
			a.							
			,							
								· -	·	
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
									······ * *· ···· ····	
							2.			,
Type: C=Ce	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=F	Reduced Matrix, MS	s=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.			Lining, M=Mat	
Histosol			Polyvalue Belov	v Surface	(S8) (I R E	R.			(LRR K, L, ML	
_	oipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B		(00) (214	,			ox (A16) (LRR	
Black Hi	stic (A3)	_	_ Thin Dark Surfa						or Peat (S3) (L	.RR K, L, R)
	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky N			, L)		Surface (S7)		
_	d Layers (A5)	- (811)	Loamy Gleyed)				Surface (S8) (L e (S9) (LRR K,	
1 5 7	d Below Dark Surface ark Surface (A12)	(A11) _	_ Depleted Matrix _ Redox Dark Su						//asses (F12) (
1 7	flucky Mineral (S1)	_	Depleted Dark		7)					(MLRA 149B)
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)	_	Redox Depress		•				6) (MLRA 144	1
1	Redox (S5)							Parent Mater		
	Matrix (S6)								Surface (TF1	2)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, M	ILRA 149B)					Otner	(Explain in I	Remarks)	
3Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetati	ion and wetl	and hydrology mus	t be prese	nt, unless	disturbed	d or problemat	ic.		
	Layer (if observed):									
Type:									V.	
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soi	il Present?	Yes X	No
Remarks:										,
(ASI DO TOMONO	DHADOON	3							
	nto anterior	- process	.							
,										
									•	
										, e .

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FO	RM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: KALTSAG-ROVIE 30/100 1 COLE POND RD City/C	County: TAMAICA WINDHAM Sampling Date: 10/7/16
	State: VT Sampling Point: T193
Investigator(s): NANCY RENDAL. Section	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): DEPRESION Local rel	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R Lat: 43.14110	Long: <u>-72,825347</u> Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: 440 - Mundal Fine Sandy 100	M NWI classification: PSSIE
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Y	(es X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
	rbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
	· ·
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problems	atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing san	npling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No	within a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	
	1

HYDROLOGY	1
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
✓ Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leave	· ·
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Od	
	res on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced	d Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction	on in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (0	C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Rer	marks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	11
Surface Water Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): <	<u> </u>
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): Sur	─────────────────────────────────────
(includes capillary fringe)	7.
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	evious inspections), if available:
Remarks:	
Nemans.	
	.*

	,-,	· - T	A 70	~ N		!		ء۔	_14_
١	E	った!	AΠ	UN	 use	scientific	names	OI.	piants.

Sampling Point: TIP3

- 20 (D) (30 (A) N) (40 A)	Absolute		t Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 FADING)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1. Fagus glanditolia		<u> </u>	EACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(plants,(A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Co	wor	OBL species() x1 =()
O I O LOUIS ON THE PARTY OF		- Total Co	, vei	FACW species $42 \times 2 = 88$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 RADIUG)	*2 A	SI	TARY	FAC species
	<u> </u>		FACIO	FACU species 11 x4 = 44
2. Populus grandidentata				UPL species
3. Corglus J cornuta		N	FACU	Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A) <u>132</u> (B)
4. Osmandatiem connamening	_			
5				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2,4
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	31.	= Total Co		X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
E' BANGE		- rotar Co	ovei	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 PANUS)			il eta	4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting
1. Unknown arass	_ <u>_ 10</u>		UNK	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Symphyottichath novor-diglide	1		FACIL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
3. Osmundastrem cinnamomeura		7_	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Rubus hispidus	_ 3_	4_	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Alous mana	7	N	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				Definitions of Vegetation Strata.
				Tree Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
7				at bleast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
9				and greater triair or equal to 3.26 it (1 in) tall.
10	_			Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	24	= Total Co	ver	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: NO NF)				
1				
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4		·		Present? Yes No
		= Total Co	over	.*
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			

Sampling Point: TIP3

Depth Markit Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Topa Loc* Texture Remarks	Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docun	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absence	of indicato	rs.)		
Type: C-Concentration, D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, MS-Masked Sand Grains. Type: C-Concentration, D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, MS-Masked Sand Grains. Hydric Soil indicators: Hydric Soil indicators: Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 1498) Histose (A1) Histose (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Startfilled Justines (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineril (S1) Sandy Gleych Matrix (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S7) (LRR MLRA 1498) Thindicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Remarks:	•											
Type: C-Concentration, D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, MS-Mesked Sand Grains. Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosoi (Ar) Histo Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sturface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 1498) Hydrogen Sturface (A11) Thick Dark Sturface (A11) Thick Dark Sturface (A11) Thick Dark Sturface (A12) Sandy Macky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Rock (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 1498) Dark Sturface (S7) (LRR R, L) Thin Dark Sturface (F8) Sandy Rock (S7) Sandy	(inches)		%	Color (moist)	%	Type	_Loc²	Texture		Remarks		
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils*: 1	<u>D-4</u>	1018 311		IDVIO 111/2			<u>~~</u>	time Sandy	am			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):	4-12	104R 3/2	90_	1872 319	<u>_</u> 5_	<u>5</u> _	<u>_</u>	ting soundy	oam			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):				•			ı	j				
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):							·····					
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):									**		······································	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):												
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):			·									
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):										W		
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):												
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):												
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):		-								······································		
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):												
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):												
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Retrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches):										·		
Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, HISTO Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histo: (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (A12) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144B) Sandy Rodox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F71) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.** Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:			letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, MS	=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.					
Histic Epipedon (A2) Bilack Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, L) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144B) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F712) Other (Explain in Remarks) Pindicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Remarks:	-			5 5.		(OO) // mm				-		
Black Histic (A3)		` '				(S8) (LR F	KK,					
Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (F7) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 3 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Hydric Soil Present? Yes No **No **N				,		.RR R, MI	RA 149B)					
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, R) **Thick Dark Surface (S12) (LRR K, L, R) Redox Depressions (F8) Redox Person Matrix (S6) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) **Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. **Restrictive Layer (if observed):** Type: Depth (inches): Depth (inches):							, L)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)				
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (F21) Stripped Matrix (S6) Park Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 3Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Remarks:			o (A11))						
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Cleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Problems disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed):			e (ATT)									
Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)		• •				7)						
Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. *Restrictive Layer (if observed):				Redox Depressi	ions (F8)						, 145, 149B)	
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) 3Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes No										• •)\	
*Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. *Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): **Remarks:** **Hydric Soil Present? Yes No		• ,	MLRA 149	3)							-)	
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): No Remarks:										·		
Type: Depth (inches): No Remarks:				etland hydrology mus	t be prese	ent, unless	disturbed	or problemation	C.			
Depth (inches): No		.ayer (it observed):								\ .		
Remarks:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•					Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes X	No	
		enes):						Trydrio don				
, x	Remarks:											
, x												
, x												
, x												
, x												
, x												
											ж,	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region Project/Site: KAUSAS-ROUTE 30/100 TOUS POND RD City/County: JAMAICA WINDHAM sampling Date: 10/7/16 Applicant/Owner: HUNTER KALTSAS State: VT Sampling Point: T1P4 Investigator(s): NANCY RENDAUL Section, Township, Range: Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERRACE Local relief (concave, convex, none): FLAT Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: 43.14110 Long: -72.825347 Datum: Soil Map Unit Name: 44C - Mundal First Sandy Loam NWI classification: Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____ Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Is the Sampled Area Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X within a Wetland? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) Surface Water (A1) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) __ Saturation (A3) ___ Marl Deposits (B15) __ Water Marks (B1) _ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Sediment Deposits (B2) _ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Yes _____ No _X_ Depth (inches): ___ Surface Water Present? Yes ____ No X Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

	Absolute	Domina	nt Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 (ADIUS)	% Cover			Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Fagus granditolia	20_	7	_FACU_	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25% (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
:	<u> 20</u>	= Total C	over	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 RADIUC)				FACW species 20 x 2 = 10
1. Corains cornuta	20	<u> </u>	FACU	FAC species x 3 =
	15	N	FACU	FACU species $80 \times 4 = 320$
3. Populus grandi dentata	<u> </u>	N	FACU	UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $100 \times 6 = 360$ (B)
1				Column Totals: <u>ICC</u> (A) <u>CCC</u> (B)
5				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.6
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	40	= Total C	over	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 PADIUS)				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
	20	4.	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Osmundastrem Cinnamorreum		7		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
į.	<i></i>	-	THEW	replanate ryarephytic regolation (Explany
3				¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
5				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
6				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
7				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	40	= Total C	over	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: NONE)	•			
1				
2.				
3				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation
		= Total C	over	Present? Yes No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s				

Sampling Point: TIPH

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth Matrix		0/	Redox Features Color (maint) % Typo1 Log2			_Loc ²	Touture	adro	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		Type ¹	_LOC_	Texture Rema	агкѕ	
0-1	104R 3/2	- 100		· ——			tive grady loam		
1-15	5YR 314	<u> </u>	104R 314			_M_	tipe sandy loan		
				·			· \		
				· .					
				· ——				,	
							*		
1				· ——			2, ,, =, =,		
Type: C=Ce	oncentration, D=Dep	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, N Indicators for Problematic Hy							
Histosol			Polyvalue Belov	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K,		
	Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B)						Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	(LRR K, L, R)	
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	. , .			<i>,</i> —		
1	en Sulfide (A4) d Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M			, L)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K Polyvalue Below Surface (
	d Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix		• •		Thin Dark Surface (S9) (Li	RR K, L)	
	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su				Iron-Manganese Masses (
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8)					-7)		Piedmont Floodplain SoilsMesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA		
Sandy Redox (S5)							Red Parent Material (F21)		
Stripped Matrix (S6)							Very Shallow Dark Surface	e (TF12)	
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)							Other (Explain in Remarks)	
3Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegeta	ation and we	etland hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	d or problematic.		
•	Layer (if observed)			-					
Type:								V	
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes	No	
Remarks:									
								, x ,	



Photo 1 – Facing east at north side of proposed wetland crossing.



Photo 2 – Facing west at midpoint of proposed driveway crossing.




Photo 3 – Facing east at midpoint of proposed impact area.




Photo 4 – Facing north across the proposed impact area from the south side.

Natural Resources Atlas VERMONT Vermont Agency of Natural Resources

vermont.gov





Vernal Pools Confirmed - AE/

Wetlands - VSWI

Class 1 Wetland Class 2 Wetland

Amphibian and Reptile Crossir

Confirmed

Potential

Rare Threatened Endangered

Threatened or Endangered

 \overline{Z}

Significant Natural Community

Deer Wintering Areas

Stream

Town Boundary

Watershed (HU10)

Subwatershed (HU12)

NOTES

Map created using ANR's Natural Resources Atlas

611.0 306.00 611.0 Meters WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere 1002 Ft. 1cm = 120 THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION © Vermont Agency of Natural Resources

DISCLAIMER: This map is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. ANR and the State of Vermont make no representations of any kind, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, or fitness for a particular use, nor are any such warranties to be implied with respect to the data on this map.



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Lines



Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20.000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Windham County, Vermont Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 15, 2016

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 10, 2011—Oct 8. 2011

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Windham County, Vermont (VT025)							
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI				
43C	Mundal fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%				
44C	Mundal fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	1.2	12.9%				
44D	Mundal fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes, very stony	1.1	11.6%				
47	Lupton mucky peat	1.9	20.9%				
48E	Rawsonville-Hogback fine sandy loams, 25 to 50 percent slopes, rocky	5.0	54.5%				
Totals for Area of Interest		9.2	100.0%				