Physical Characterization of the PFAS-Contaminated Fractured Rock Aquifer Beneath the Rutland - Southern Vermont Regional Airport

Kim, Jonathan J.¹, Romanowicz, E.A.², Ryan, Peter C.³, Klepeis, Keith A.⁴, Boyles, Julia¹, Cobb, Alexandra³, and Valentine, G.³

[']Vermont Geological Survey, 1 National Life Drive, Davis 4, Montpelier, VT 05620, jon.kim@vermont.gov, julia.boyles@vermont.gov ²Center for Earth and Environmental Science, SUNY at Plattsburgh, Plattsburgh, N.Y., 12901, romanoea@plattsburgh.edu ³Dept. of Geology, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT 05753, pryan@middlebury.edu ⁴Dept. of Geology, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, kklepeis@vermont.edu

tewide testing for per- (and poly-) fluoroalkyl substance (PFAS) co oundwater has been taking place since the 2016 discovery of PFA mination in the Town of Bennington. In 2018, numerous wells and spring ninated with PFAS. The primary PFAS sources are assumed to be from Aqueous Fire-Fighting Foam (AFFF) during equipment tests and at 2 c es. Our research group integrates physical and chemical hydrogeological proaches to characterize PFAS-contaminated aquifers; the former consists o UAV surveys), spatial analysis of v orts, and geophysical logging and the latter is comprised by analysis of coundwater for PFAS species, major and trace elements, stable isotopes, and echarge-ages. This report focuses on the physical approach. pplement bedrock mapping of the contamination area, detailed stru lysis along river gorges and state highways are underway. Along the lower a per Clarendon gorges, UAV surveys have acquired multi-altitude imagery to magery and field data shows well defined; steeply-dipping; N-S, E-W, and N V-striking fracture zones, which may influence groundwater flow correlating accurate well locations with well driller reports, preliminary map re made in GIS of bedrock surface elevation, thickness of overlying ometric surface. The integration of uctural data with these maps indicates that fracture zones control surface wate



nage and thickness of overburden.

The physical hydrogeological characterization forms a 3-dimensional framework to evaluate the chemical data sets, leading to an enhanced understanding of the fate and transport of PFAS.





Base map for the Rutland- Southern Vermont PFAS project. The runways are shown in magenta and can be seen for reference on Figure 3. Wells tested that exceed the 20 parts/trillion (ppt) limit for PFAS (sum of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA, and PFNA) are shown with red stars; those wells with PFAS levels above the detection limit, but < or = to 20 ppt are shown as yellow circles; and those wells that have PFAS levels below the analytical detection limit (~2 ppt) are show as blue circles. The location of wells logged using geophysical equipment are shown as green pins. The locations of drone video surveys in the Clarendon Gorge are shown with purple triangles (see Figure 4 for drone photomosaics).

Figure 2- Aquifer Characterization Approach





Geologic Maps and Spatial Analysis of Wells



Bedrock geologic map of the field area (modified from Ratcliffe et al., 2011). Airport runways shown in magenta. Lithologies in airport vicinity: Os- Shelburne Fm. (marble and limestone); shown in magenta. Surficial materials in airport vicinity: Pt- till; Pm- moraine; Pic- ice contact Csp- Clarendon Springs Fm. (dolostone); Cw- Winooski Fm. (dolostone and dolomitic quartzite; deposits; Ha- alluvium; Haf- alluvial fan deposits; Hat- alluvial terrace deposits; Hw- wetland Cdu- Dunham Fm. (dolostone).

Figure 3C- Isopach Map



the Vermont database of well driller reports. The contour interval is 20' and brown shading denotes areas where overburden is > or equal to 40'.

contouring the bedrock elevation in the field area.

Figure 3E- Bedrock Surface Contour Map





deposits; af- artificial fill.

Figure 3D- Surficial Map Cross Sections



Figure 3F- "Static Water Level" Map



This map was made in GIS by assigning elevations to the "static water level" (poteniometric surface) in each well and then contouring this surface for the bedrock aquifer in the field area.

Drone Surveys and Structural Analysis



Figure 4D- 3-D Photo Mosaic of Line 1-Northward View



Agisoft Metashape Pro mosaic of photos 48-76. See location on Figure 4A.

Figure 4E- 3-D Photo Mosaic of Line 1- Southward View





Agisoft Metashape Pro mosaic of photos 77 - 113 (left) and 119 - 149 (eastward view). See location on Figure 4A. The photo mosaic on the left is composed of the same group of photos as Figure C.

Figure 4G- 3-D Photo Mosaic of Line 2- Eastward View



Figure 4H- 3-D Photo Mosaic of Line 3- Southward View



Agisoft Metashape Pro mosaic of photos 203 - 219. See location on Figure 4A. Rose diagram and contoured equal area show structural data from this outcrop.





tated Planar Photomosai











fractures (black petals and black and gray contour intervals) for mosaic photos 203 - 219.

Geophysical Logging and Structural Analysis





