

Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC.

Applied Watershed Science & Ecology

Town of Colchester Phase I Stream Geomorphic Assessment Report

July 29, 2007

Prepared for:

Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission South Burlington, Vermont

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Phase 1 Final Report

1.0 Executive Summary	1
2.0 Introduction	2
3.0 Pond Brook Watershed	3
3.1 Pond Brook Assessment Recommendations	7
4.0 Smith Creek Watershed	8
4.1 Smith Creek Assessment Recommendations	11
5.0 Conclusions	12
6.0 References	13

Appendices

Appendix A: Watershed Maps
Appendix B: Watershed Summary Data
Appendix C: Phase 1 Reach Reports
Appendix D: QA/QC Summary

1.0 Executive Summary

This report summarizes data collected by Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. for the Pond Brook and Smith Creek watersheds in the Town of Colchester, Vermont. The Pond Brook and Smith Creek watersheds both outlet to Malletts Bay and have drainage areas of 4.7 and 1.4 square miles, respectively. The two watersheds were identified for assessment by the Town of Colchester and the Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission, and the Phase 1 approach of the VTANR Stream Geomorphic Assessment (SGA) Protocol (VTDEC, 2006) was utilized for data collection and analysis.

The Pond Brook watershed contains a mix of agricultural, forested, and low to medium density residential land use with a low degree of urbanization (3.2% impervious cover). The channel network is largely characterized by low-gradient reaches with sand substrate and many areas of beaver activity. The primary stressors to geomorphic stability and habitat conditions in the Pond Brook watershed include historic impacts to the channel boundary conditions (e.g., straightening) and the subsequent recover of a meandering planform occurring today, as well as specific undersized culverts found in the lower and middle sections of the watershed. Based on the results of the Phase 1 analysis, a total of 8 reaches have been identified for future assessment using the SGA Phase 2 approach.

The Smith Creek watershed contains a mix of agricultural, forested, and low to medium density residential land use with a moderate degree of urbanization (10.5% impervious cover). The channel network is also characterized by low-gradient reaches with sand substrate and steep valley side slopes. The primary stressors to geomorphic stability and habitat conditions in the Smith Creek watershed include stormwater inputs and the alteration of the watershed's hydrologic regime, as well as historic impacts to the channel boundary conditions (e.g., straightening). Based on the results of the Phase 1 analysis, a total of 4 reaches have been identified for future assessment using the SGA Phase 2 approach.

Additional recommendations for data analysis beyond the SGA Phase 2 approach include the development of rainfall-runoff models for those reaches where culverts have been identified as problematic and potentially undersized. Little additional effort would be required to develop the data needed to run the rainfall-runoff models (much of the data has been generated through the Phase 1 analysis), and the resulting discharge data would provide a sound basis for prioritizing structures for replacement for the Town of Colchester. This approach is described in further detail in the conclusions in Section 5.

2.0 Introduction:

The Town of Colchester and the Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission (CCRPC) identified two small watersheds within Colchester for assessment of fluvial geomorphic condition and erosion hazards: Pond Brook and Smith Creek. Both watersheds experienced flooding and erosion damage resulting from heavy rainfall events during the summer and fall of 2004, caused primarily by increased residential land use and improperly sized road culverts. Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. (FEA) was retained by CCRPC to carry out a Phase 1 assessment following the Stream Geomorphic Assessment (SGA) Protocols developed by VTANR. The Phase 1 SGA approach results in watershed-scale data about the landscape (e.g., soils and land cover) and the stream channel (e.g., slope and form), providing a basis for understanding the natural and human-impacted conditions within the watershed. The SGA data also aids in the identification of specific stressors affecting the physical conditions of the stream channels and structures (e.g., bridges and culverts) within watersheds.

Separate summaries of the watershed data are provided below for Pond Brook and Smith Creek. These summaries include descriptions of the watershed zones and specific reaches where land cover and soils characteristics indicate potential areas for fluvial erosion hazards. Following these descriptions are recommendations for future monitoring and data collection that would aid in the identification of projects that that could protect, sustain, or restore fluvial geomorphic equilibrium conditions, through the implementation of either passive or active stream corridor management strategies.

Tables summarizing the data compiled through the Phase 1 analysis are found in Appendix B. These tables include summaries of the physical conditions and reference stream types in the watershed (Tables 1 and 4), impact ratings and priorities for future assessment (Tables 2 and 5), and predicted stream channel adjustment processes (Tables 3 and 6). The relative reach impact score within each watershed was evaluated to determine the priority for future Phase 2 assessment. Generally, reaches with higher impact scores received a higher priority ranking. However some reaches with low impact scores were considered high priorities for future assessment if they contain problematic bridges or culverts, or had channel adjustment processes observed during the windshield surveys that warrant further investigation. Data specific to each reach are summarized in the reach summary sheets in Appendix C. These data form the basis for the impact ratings and prioritization as described above.

3.0 Pond Brook Watershed

The Pond Brook watershed is found in the northeastern part of the Colchester from the headwaters at Colchester Pond to the outlet at Malletts Creek approximately one mile east of Malletts Bay (see map in Appendix A). The watershed encompasses an area of 4.7 square miles, with 6 miles of stream channel along the mainstem from the headwaters to the outlet. The overall slope of the mainstem and tributary channels is 1.2%, reflecting the low gradient nature of the majority of the reaches in the watershed. The surface waters of the watershed were divided into two branches for the Phase 1 analysis. In addition to the Pond Brook mainstem (reaches M01 through M08), an additional tributary that is found along Route 2A and referred to as the "Southern Tributary" (reaches T1.01 through T1.05) was analyzed due to the size of the contributing drainage area.

The land use within the Pond Brook watershed is dominated by open agricultural and forested areas, with a mix of low and medium-density residential and commercial land along Route 2A in the village. Currently the impervious cover of the Pond Brook watershed is 3.2%, below levels (5-10%) associated with decline of channel stability and biotic integrity in small watersheds in Chittenden County (Fitzgerald, 2007).

The surficial geology of the watershed is dominated by lacustrine clays deposited during the early Holocene when Lake Vermont occupied much of the Champlain Valley (Wright, 2003). Some areas of glacial till and alluvial substrates are also found in the headwaters zone and near the mouth of Pond Brook, respectively. In the lower part of the watershed, the highly erosive properties of the soils have led to the development of steep valley side walls in many of the low-gradient reaches. These areas are characterized by narrow, meandering sand-bottomed channels found within unconfined valleys. Much of the bank and riparian vegetation along these reaches are dominated by herbaceous plants as a result of recurring beaver ponding. In the upper reaches of the watershed where glacial till is present and the channel slopes are greater, coarse-bottomed (e.g., gravel and cobble) channels are found in confined settings in the absence of historic beaver activity.

Below are narrative summaries of three zones of the Pond Brook watershed.

Upper Watershed Zone (M07 & M08; T1.03 to T1.05)

The upper headwaters area of the Pond Brook watershed in the vicinity of Colchester Pond (Figure 1) is occupied by forested terrain that has been minimally impacted by development. In the steeper areas of this watershed



Figure 1. View east over Colchester Pond and headwaters of Pond Brook

zone, B and C-type channels (Rosgen, 1994) are found where the valley setting is more confined and substrates are coarser. Downslope of these areas in the middle watershed zone, depositional (sand-bottomed) E-type channels are found where the channel slopes are less than 1% (Appendix B; Table 1). To the west and south of the pond, residential and commercial land uses (and associated road crossings) are found that may be impacting the channel stability in these depositional reaches. From Phase 1 analysis, one reach has been identified that has a high impact rating and high priority for further assessment.

• **T1.04:** This reach is found on the southern tributary beginning at the road crossing associated with the industrial park (located across from Canyon Estates Rd; see map in Appendix A) and extending upstream to the crossing at Gentes Road. Under reference conditions we would expect to see a C-type channel with gravel substrate and a meandering planform. The lower section of the reach has been straightened and culverted (~25 % of entire reach length) beneath the industrial park, and nearly all of the natural channel sinuosity has been lost. Downstream of this area the channel shows some signs of historic incision (Figure 2). In addition, the high degree of urbanization in the immediate drainage area to the channel has likely altered the reach hydrology. Direct observations of the middle portion of the reach were not possible during the windshield survey due to limited property access. This reach is predicted to have significant changes in planform and a poor geomorphic condition (Appendix B; Table 3).



Figure 2. Channel immediately downstream of industrial park in T1.04

Middle Watershed Zone (M04 to M06; T1.01 & T1.02)

Throughout the middle section of the watershed most of the reaches are characterized by meandering, low-gradient channels with frequent flooding caused by beaver activity. One short, high-gradient reach (M04) is found at the lower end of this zone where the channel descends over a limestone escarpment through a 40 foot waterfall. Areas of low density residential development are found upslope of reach T1.03, however field observations indicate that the channel is not adjusting due to this stressor. Throughout reaches M05 and M06, numerous channel meanders and potential neck cutoffs can be observed from current and historic aerial photography. However these changes in planform may be influenced more by beaver activity than by disequilibrium conditions caused by human impacts. From Phase 1 analysis, one reach has been identified that has a high impact rating and high priority for further assessment.

• **M06:** This mainstem reach is found from the confluence with the southern tributary up to a break in slope approximately 0.5 miles upstream of the East Road crossing. The channel is characterized by a low-gradient, sinuous form with many areas of meander migration resulting from beaver impacts to the floodplain. This reach has received a high impact rating due to the observed changes in planform, as well as the ice and debris jam potential at the East Road crossing. During an August 2004 runoff event, flooding occurred upstream of this road crossing, causing the road to be

temporarily closed. Debris and ice jams and future flooding will likely recur at this road crossing due to a combination of the following factors: 1) an undersized culvert (Figure 3); 2) limited relief of road from floodplain (less than 10 feet); 3) frequent beaver ponding above and below crossing.



Figure 3. 36" culvert constricting flow and debris beneath East Road

Lower Watershed Zone (M01 through M03)

In the lower section of the watershed below Middle Road the channel maintains a highly sinuous planform with E-type channel geometry. No beaver activity was observed during the windshield surveys in this watershed zone. Lack of property access limited direct observations of reach M01, however review of aerial photography indicates that this reach has been straightened and has limited vegetative buffer throughout. Numerous meander migrations in reaches M02 and M03 suggest that the channels in this zone are active in their lateral migration, perhaps in partial response to beaver influences. From Phase 1 analysis, two reaches have been identified that have a high impact rating and high priority for further assessment.

• **M01:** This mainstem reach is found from the confluence with Malletts Creek up to the end of the property associated with the surrounding farm. This reach has had severe historic impacts to the planform (greater than 90% of the channel length has been straightened). In addition, much of the reach lacks a vegetative buffer greater than 25 feet, which likely contributes to the direct input of sediment and nutrients from the adjacent agricultural fields, and also elevates surface water temperatures during the summer months due to lack of canopy cover. This reach is predicted to have significant changes in planform and a poor geomorphic condition (Appendix B; Table 3).

• **M03:** This reach is found from approximately 1000 feet below the Route 7 crossing up to a change in slope and confinement at the reach break with M04. Although this reach has had limited direct impacts to the channel boundary conditions (e.g., channel straightening), its changes of planform indicate that it is undergoing significant lateral migration. In addition, the culvert beneath Route 7 represents a potential for ice and debris jams (although upstream flooding would not impacts any structures or property). Some scour and incision was noted below this culvert, indicating that it constricts flow during channel forming events. This reach is predicted to have significant changes in planform and a poor geomorphic condition (Appendix B; Table 3).

3.1 Pond Brook Assessment Recommendations

Based on the results of the Phase 1 analysis, 6 mainstem reaches and 2 tributary reaches have been selected for recommendation for further assessment (see priority rankings in Appendix B; Table 2) using the Phase 2 approach of the SGA protocols (including bridge and culvert assessments).

- **Mainstem:** Reaches **M01 through M06** are recommended for further Phase 2 assessment.
 - **Reach M01 (high priority)** should be investigated in further detail to determine the impacts of historic straightening and lack of vegetative buffer, and to evaluate the potential for stream corridor protection within the farm surrounding the channel. This effort would also involve landowner outreach to assess the social constraints to stream restoration.
 - Reaches M02 and M03 (medium and high priority) should also be assessed to determine the connectivity of adjustments along the channel network, as a high degree of channel migration was observed in both reaches. The Route 7 culvert (M03) should also be evaluated for impacts to fish passage and channel adjustments downstream of the structure.
 - **Reach M04 (medium priority)** contains a culvert beneath Middle Road which appears to be undersized. This reach and culvert should be assessed to determine whether it could be a priority for the replacement by the

Town of Colchester, given the known flooding hazard in the upstream culvert under East Road with similar dimensions and profile.

- **Reaches M05 and M06 (medium and high priority)** are priority reaches for assessment due to the high degree of lateral migration observed in both. In addition, the culvert beneath East Road should be evaluated in more detail to develop baseline information for the future replacement of this structure to reduce the risk of flooding along the road.
- Southern Tributary: Reaches T1.01 and T1.04 are recommended for further Phase 2 assessment.
 - Reach T1.01 (medium priority) should be investigated in further detail to determine the impacts of runoff associated with the surrounding lowdensity residential development. The windshield survey was limited to the East Road crossing and did not encompass the middle or lower section of the reach.
 - **Reaches T1.04 (high priority)** should also be assessed to determine the impacts of runoff associated with the surrounding industrial development.

4.0 Smith Creek Watershed

The Smith Creek watershed is found in the center of the Colchester from the source at Severence Corners to the outlet at Malletts Bay along East Lakeshore Drive (see map in Appendix A). The watershed encompasses an area of 1.4 square miles, with 3 miles of stream channel along the mainstem from the headwaters to the outlet. The overall channel slope of the mainstem channel is 1.2%, reflecting the low-gradient nature of the majority of the reaches in the watershed (Appendix B; Table 4).

The land use within the Smith Creek watershed has become increasingly urbanized over the past 20 years, and includes a mix of low and medium-density residential and commercial land, as well as large areas of agriculture and forest. Currently the impervious cover of the Smith Creek watershed is 10.5%, a level associated with decline of channel stability and biotic integrity within other small watersheds in Chittenden County (Fitzgerald, 2007).

The surficial geology of the watershed is similar that found in the Pond Brook watershed, with lacustrine clays dominating throughout. In the lower part of the watershed, the presence of these soils has led to the development of steep valley side walls in many of the low gradient reaches. These areas are characterized by narrow, meandering sand-bottomed channels found within unconfined to narrow valleys.

Below are narrative summaries of two zones of the Smith Creek watershed.

Upper Watershed Zone (M04, M05, & Tributary Reaches)

Above Interstate 89 the small contributing drainage area to the upper reaches results in small, sand and gravel-bottomed reaches with limited stream power to cause flooding or fluvial erosion hazards. The channels in the watershed zone are found in very broad valleys (relative to the channel size) with abundant wetlands (Figure 4). Although high impact ratings have been assigned to some of the reaches in this zone, the physical conditions of these channels and watersheds would be best protected through the implementation of buffer setbacks and best management practices to control stormwater runoff, rather than the traditional SGA approach to assessing and controlling fluvial erosion hazards.



Figure 4. Small channel with adjacent wetlands in reach T2.01

Lower Watershed Zone (M01 through M04)

Below Interstate 89 the contributing drainage area becomes large enough to form typical alluvial channels that have historically carved valleys with steep side slopes through the lacustrine clays. Most of the reaches found within this zone are sand-bottomed channels with E-type geometry. The exception to this is the lowermost reach, where a wide channel is found within a narrow valley. Numerous stormwater outfalls were observed in this watershed zone that appear to be negatively impacting the hydrologic regime. Many of the stream channel

adjustments in the lower reaches are likely occurring as a result of the increased frequency of channel and bank scouring events due to runoff from impervious surfaces. From Phase 1 analysis, two reaches have been identified that have a high impact rating and high priority for further assessment.

• **M01:** This reach is found from the outlet at Malletts Bay up to a change in valley confinement just east of the Colchester Junior High School recreation fields. This reach appears to have B-type geometry with a sand-bottomed channel (Figure 5). This reach has had severe impacts to the vertical stability due to impacts from upslope impervious cover. In a heavy rainfall event in August 2004, the culvert beneath East Lakeshore Drive was undermined and washed out, and has since been replaced. This reach is predicted to have significant channel aggradation (e.g., sediment deposition) and degradation (e.g., downcutting) and a fair geomorphic condition (Appendix B; Table 6).



Figure 5. Sand-bottomed channel in lower reach M01

• **M02:** This reach is found from the reach break with M01 up to the Williams Road crossing. Many stormwater outfalls were noted along this reach, resulting in incision in the areas upstream of the bike path crossing (Figure 6). In addition, some scour and lateral migration was observed immediately below the Williams Road culvert. This reach is also predicted to have significant channel aggradation and degradation and a fair geomorphic condition (Appendix B; Table 6).



Figure 6. Incised channel in middle of reach M02

4.1 Smith Creek Monitoring Recommendations

Based on the results of the Phase 1 analysis, 4 mainstem reaches reaches have been selected for recommendation for further assessment using the Phase 2 approach of the SGA protocols (including bridge and culvert assessments). Although the impact rating and subsequent priority ranking (Appendix 2; Table 5) for tributary reach T1.02 indicate the importance for further assessment, the small size of the channel within this reach makes it inappropriate for a Phase 2 approach. Important information could be gained, however, through a cursory Phase 2 approach of channel and adjacent wetland assessment within this reach if desired by CCRPC or the Town of Colchester.

- Mainstem: Reaches M01 through M04 are recommended for further Phase 2 assessment.
 - **Reaches M01 and M02 (high priority)** should be investigated in further detail to determine the impacts of upslope runoff from impervious surfaces. Given the erosion hazard noted with the washout of the culvert beneath East Lakeshore Drive in reach M01 (and the incision noted during the windshield surveys), further assessment of the upstream area is recommended. In addition, low-density development has recently been added to the area that drains directly to reach M02, and the impacts of this development are unknown.

- Reach M03 (medium priority) should also be assessed to determine the connectivity of adjustments along the channel network, as a moderate degree of channel straightening (~15% of channel length) was observed in this reach. Like in M02, additional low-density development has recently been added to the area that drains directly to M03, the impacts of which are unknown.
- Reach M04 (medium priority) also has been impacted by historic channel straightening (~25% of channel length), and may currently be impacted by the impervious cover associated with the Edgewood Drive neighborhood. Although this reach received a high impact rating (Appendix 2; Table 5), the relatively small drainage area and channel size makes it unlikely that significant erosion hazards will develop in this reach. Therefore, this reach has been given a medium priority for further assessment.

5.0 Conclusions

The Phase 1 approach for the Pond Brook and Smith Creek watersheds has provided initial data to describe the topographic, geologic and anthropogenic settings within the Town of Colchester. The overall conditions within the Pond Brook watershed vary significantly depending on the adjacent land use (historic and current) and the presence or absence of undersized culverts. Many reaches in the Pond Brook watershed are predicted to have significant channel adjustment processes with fair to poor geomorphic conditions. As a result, a total of 8 reaches have been recommended for future Phase 2 assessment. The overall conditions within Smith Creek are being strongly influenced by the moderate degree of urbanization within the watershed. Many reaches in the Smith Creek watershed are also predicted to have significant channel adjustment processes with fair to poor geomorphic conditions, and a total of 4 reaches have been recommended for future Phase 2 assessment.

For reaches where the dominant stressor is an undersized culvert, or where culverts have been identified for possible replacement by the Town of Colchester, additional data describing the hydrologic regime (e.g., magnitude and frequency of discharge events) would be required to further identify and prioritize these structures. The Phase 1 data generated by this study provide a convenient basis for developing rainfall-runoff models (using the NRCS approach with the TR20 model) that can determine the peak flow rates through these structures during larger storm events. Much of the data required to develop these models is inherent in the Phase 1 results (including watershed areas, soils data, and land use), and little additional effort using GIS would be needed. It is recommended that discharge data for a spectrum of large storm events (10, 25 and 100 year return) be generated for those stream crossings that have been identified as problematic in this study, including a total of three crossings in the Pond Brook watershed (reaches M03, M04 and M06) and one in the Smith Creek watershed (M02).

6.0 References

- Fitzgerald, E. P., 2007, Linking urbanization to stream geomorphology and biotic integrity in the Lake Champlain Basin, Vermont [M.S. Thesis]: Burlington, Vermont, University of Vermont, 121 p.
- Rosgen, D. L., 1994, A classification of natural rivers, *Catena*, 22(3), 169 199.
- VTDEC (Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation), 2006, Stream geomorphic assessment handbook - Phase 1 & 2 Protocols. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources Publication. Available at: <u>http://www.anr.state.vt.us/dec/waterq/rivers/htm/rv_geoassesspro.htm</u>.
- Wright, S., 2003, Glacial geology of the Burlington and Colchester 7.5 minute quadrangles, Vermont Geological Survey, Waterbury, VT

APPENDIX A

SUBWATERSHED MAPPING



Town Boundaries
Pond Brook Subwatersheds
Pond Brook Surface Waters

Pond Brook Subwatershed Map

Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. 316 River Road Colchester, VT 05446 tel. 802.999.1357 fax. 802.861.7599 evan@fitzgeraldenvironmental.com



Smith Creek Subwatersheds Smith Creek Surface Waters

Smith Creek Subwatershed Map

Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. 316 River Road Colchester, VT 05446 tel. 802.999.1357 fax. 802.861.7599 evan@fitzgeraldenvironmental.com

APPENDIX B

WATERSHED SUMMARY DATA

	Elev	ation	Valley	Valley	Channel	Channel		Watershed	Channel	Valley					
	Up	Down	Length	Slope	Length	Slope		Area	Width	Width	Confir	nement	Reference		Bed
Reach ID	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(%)	(ft.)	(%)	Sinuosity	(sq. mi.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	Ratio	Type*	Stream Type	Bedform	Subsrate
M01	103	100	1682	0.18	1920	0.16	1.14	4.65	25.8	603	23.4	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M02	112	103	2210	0.41	3179	0.28	1.44	4.53	25.5	294	11.5	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M03	130	112	3418	0.53	4289	0.42	1.25	4.24	24.7	193	7.8	BD	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M04	187	130	964	5.91	1018	5.6	1.06	4.02	24.2	50	2.1	SC	А	Cascade	Bedrock
M05	195	187	2937	0.27	4343	0.18	1.48	3.99	24.1	260	10.8	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M06	235	195	4276	0.94	5055	0.79	1.18	2.75	20.5	191	9.3	BD	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M07	380	235	3052	4.75	3201	4.53	1.05	2.34	19	50	2.6	SC	В	Step-Pool	Boulder
M08	480	380	8327	1.2	8611	1.16	1.03	2.02	17.8	120	6.7	BD	С	Riffle-Pool	Gravel
T1.01	215	195	2732	0.73	3056	0.65	1.12	0.92	12.6	147	11.6	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
T1.02	237	215	2302	0.96	2731	0.81	1.19	0.58	10.3	136	13.2	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
T1.03	298	237	3730	1.64	3963	1.54	1.06	0.52	9.8	133	13.5	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
T1.04	340	298	3608	1.16	3795	1.11	1.05	0.28	7.5	156	20.8	VB	С	Riffle-Pool	Gravel
T1.05	440	340	2460	4.07	2496	4.01	1.01	0.11	5	30	6	NW	В	Step-Pool	Cobble

Table 1. Pond Brook Preliminary Stream Types (Step 2)

* NW = Narrow; SC = Semi-confined; BD = Broad; VB = Very Broad

							Ste	p Numb	er [†] with	Impact \$	Score*						Total	Priority
Reach ID	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Score	Ranking
M01	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	12	High
M02	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	9	Medium
M03	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	1	11	High
M04	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	Medium
M05	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	9	Medium
M06	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	12	High
M07	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	Low
M08	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	9	Low
T1.01	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	7	Medium
T1.02	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	Low
T1.03	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	6	Low
T1.04	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	High
T1.05	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low

Table 2. Pond Brook Impact Ratings (Step 8)

* 0 = Not Significant or No Data; 1 = Low; 2 = High

† Step 4: Land Cover and Reach Hydrology

Step 5: Channel Modifications

Step 6: Floodplain Modifications and Planform Changes

Step 7: Bed and Bank Condition

	9.1 P	redicted Adjus	tment Score	es	Total	9.2 Reach	Condition	9.3 Reach
Reach ID	Degradation	Aggradation	Widening	Planform	Impact	Project*	Statewide*	Sensitivity
M01	6	6	7	8	12	Poor	Good	High
M02	4	4	2	2	9	Fair	Reference	High
M03	5	5	5	7	11	Poor	Good	High
M04	5	8	5	2	7	Fair	Good	Very Low
M05	3	3	2	3	9	Good	Reference	High
M06	3	5	4	5	12	Fair	Good	High
M07	5	6	5	2	6	Fair	Good	Very Low
M08	4	4	2	0	9	Good	Reference	High
T1.01	3	4	2	1	7	Good	Reference	High
T1.02	2	4	2	0	5	Good	Reference	High
T1.03	2	3	2	2	6	Good	Reference	High
T1.04	6	7	5	8	10	Poor	Good	High
T1.05	2	1	0	0	1	Reference	Reference	Moderate

Table 3. Pond Brook Predicted	d Channel Ad	justment	Processes ((Ster	פ כ)

* Conditions relative to the Pond Brook watershed ("project") versus overall Vermont ("statewide")

Note: **Bold** values indicate the dominant adjustment processes (when moderate to severe; value > 5)

	Elev	ation	Valley	Valley	Channel	Channel		Watershed	Channel	Valley					
	Up	Down	Length	Slope	Length	Slope		Area	Width	Width	Confir	nement	Reference		Bed
Reach ID	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(%)	(ft.)	(%)	Sinuosity	(sq. mi.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	Ratio	Type*	Stream Type	Bedform	Substrate
M01	165	95	3151	2.22	3189	2.2	1.01	1.4	15.2	50	3.3	SC	В	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M02	175	165	2516	0.4	2577	0.39	1.02	1.24	14.4	140	9.7	BD	Е	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M03	190	175	2855	0.53	3194	0.47	1.12	0.95	12.8	130	10.1	VB	Е	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M04	210	190	2487	0.8	2604	0.77	1.05	0.4	8.7	231	26.5	VB	Е	Dune-Ripple	Sand
M05	280	210	3508	2	3582	1.95	1.02	0.13	5.3	100	18.9	VB	В	Riffle-Pool	Gravel
T1.01	200	190	1646	0.61	1840	0.54	1.12	0.25	7.1	206	28.9	VB	Е	Dune-Ripple	Sand
T1.02	280	200	2875	2.78	2934	2.73	1.02	0.07	4.2	75	18	VB	В	Riffle-Pool	Gravel
T2.01	220	210	1408	0.71	1458	0.69	1.04	0.15	5.6	75	13.4	VB	E	Dune-Ripple	Sand
T2.01.S1.01	270	220	1065	4.69	1074	4.66	1.01	0.04	3.1	45	14.4	VB	В	Riffle-Pool	Gravel
T2.02	280	220	1700	3.53	1712	3.5	1.01	0.07	4.2	145	34.6	VB	В	Riffle-Pool	Gravel

Table 4. Smith Creek Preliminary Stream Types (Step 2)

* SC = Semi-confined; BD = Broad; VB = Very Broad

							Ste	p Numb	er [†] with	Impact 3	Score*						Total	Priority
Reach ID	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Score	Ranking
M01	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	High
M02	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	High
M03	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	9	Medium
M04	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	1	12	Medium
M05	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	Low
T1.01	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	Low
T1.02	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	11	High
T2.01	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	Low
T2.01.S1.01	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	Low
T2.02	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Low

Table 5. Smith Creek Impact Ratings (Step 8)

* 0 = Not Significant or No Data; 1 = Low; 2 = High

† Step 4: Land Cover and Reach Hydrology

Step 5: Channel Modifications

Step 6: Floodplain Modifications and Planform Changes

Step 7: Bed and Bank Condition

	9.1 F	Predicted Adjus	tment Score	S	Total	9.2 Reac	h Condition	9.3 Reach	
Reach ID	Degradation	Aggradation	Widening	Planform	Impact	Project*	Statewide*	Sensitivity	
M01	4	4	2	0	5	Fair	Reference	Moderate	
M02	4	4	2	2	8	Fair	Reference	High	
M03	3	4	2	1	9	Fair	Reference	High	
M04	6	6	5	6	12	Poor	Good	High	
M05	4	4	2	0	4	Fair	Reference	Moderate	
T1.01	2	4	2	0	5	Good	Reference	High	
T1.02	6	7	5	6	11	Poor	Good	Moderate	
T2.01	6	6	5	6	6	Poor	Good	High	
T2.01.S1.01	6	6	5	4	6	Poor	Good	Moderate	
T2.02	4	4	2	0	5	Fair	Reference	Moderate	

|--|

* Conditions relative to the Smith Creek watershed ("project") versus overall Vermont ("statewide")

Note: **Bold** values indicate the dominant adjustment processes (when moderate to severe; value > 5)

APPENDIX C

PHASE 1 REACH REPORTS

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ot Malletts Bay No	Reach M01 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.11 Reference Stream TyBedform:Sub-class Slope:Bed Material:	From confluence with Colchester 44.57 e: -73.16 103 : 100 No 1682 feet. 0.32 Mile 0.18 % 1920 feet. 0.36 Mile 0.16 % 1.14 5 Square Mile 26 feet. 603 feet. 23.4 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	h Malletts Creek up to the end of channel <u>Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology</u> <i>4.1 Watershed</i> Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 39.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: <i>4.2 Corridor</i> Historic Land Cover: Field <i>4.3 Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 53.0 %</i> Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Field <i>4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: 0-25</i> <i>4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant</i> <u>5.1 Flow Regulation: None</u> <i>5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 %</i> <i>5.3 Bank Armoring: None None</i> <i>5.4 Channel Straightening: 1750 91 %</i> <i>5.5 Dredging History: None</i> Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow: Erodibility:	None None None Itat Flat Flat Frequent 100. % 1.5 0.0 %	6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:16Ratio:6.6 Wavelength:110Ratio:4.3Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Bridge

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	10
High	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	High	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ot Malletts Bay	Reach M02 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.10 Confinement Type:2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material:	From the farm bridge Colchester 44.56 e: -73.17 112 112 113 No 2210 feet. 0.42 Mile 0.41 % 3179 feet. 0.60 Mile 0.28 % 1.44 5 Square Mile 25 feet. 294 feet. 11.5 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	 crossing up to approximately 1000 feet <u>Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology</u> <i>4.1 Watershed</i> Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 38.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: <i>4.2 Corridor</i> S. Current Dominant land Cover: Field 21.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Field 21.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 0 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 809 25 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristic3.1 Alluvial Fan:3.2 Grade Control:3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:Water Table Deep:Water Table Shallow:Erodibility:	S: None None None at.: Alluvial 58.0 cal Mat.: Glacial Lake Hilly Steep C 58.0 % Frequent 58.0 % 1.5 58.0 % 0.0 58.0 % High - 1.0 %	6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:110Ratio: 4.36.6 Wavelength:175Ratio: 6.9Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	8
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	Low	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ott Malletts Bay No	Reach M03 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location 1.1 Reach Description: 1.2 Towns: 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 1.3 Downstream Longitude	From approx. 1000 fe Colchester 44.56 ☆ -73.16	et downstream of Rt. 7 crossing up to approx. Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle? 2.2 Valley Length:	130 112 No 3418 feet. 0.65 Mile	Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 39.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor S. Historic Land Cover: Field
 2.3 Valley Slope: 2.4.Channel Length: 2.5 Channel Slope: 2.6 Sinuosity: 2.7 Watershed Area: 2.8 Channel Width: 	0.53 % 4289 feet. 0.81 Mile 0.42 % 1.25 4 Square Mile	 Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 53.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Urban 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.9 Valley Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Type:	193 feet. 7.8 Broad pe: E	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:5.2 Bridges and Culverts:105.3 Bank Armoring:None
Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics	Dune-Ripple None Sand	5.4 Channel Straightening: 292 6 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0
 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 	None None t.: Glacial Lake 42.0 d cal Mat.: Alluvial Steep Very Steep	6.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:Mid-channel% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:8585Ratio:3.41106.6 Wavelength:110Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep:	Not Rated 42.0 % None/Rare 62.0 % 1.5 37.0 %	7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Culvert

7.4 Comments:

Erodibility:

Water Table Shallow:

0.0

High -

Pond Brook

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	8
High	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	High	N.S.	N.S.	

37.0 %

12.0 %

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ott Malletts Bay	Reach M04 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1 Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description: 1.2 Towns: 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 1.3 Downstream Longitude	From approx. 700 ft b Colchester 44.56 e: -73.16	below Middle Road to immediately above the Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type	407	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Upstream:	187 · 120	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 39.0 %
2.1 Elevation Downstream 2.1 ls Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	964 feet. 0.18 Mile	4.2 Corridor
2.3 Valley Slope:	5.91 %	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.4.Channel Length:	1018 feet. 0.19 Mile	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 36.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	5.60 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.06	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	4 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	24 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: None
2.9 Valley Width:	50 feet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	2.1	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Semi-confined	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty Rodform:		5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Sub alass Slaps:	Nono	5.4 Channel Straightening: 123 12 %
Bod Material:	Rodrock	5.5 Dredging History: None
	Bedlock	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	<u>S:</u>	6.1 Berms and Roads: 00
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	Waterfall	6.3 Channel Bars: Not Evaluated
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	at.: Ice-Contact 49.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Not Evaluated
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.: Glacial Lake	6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side	Extremely Steep	6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Extremely Steep	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils		7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	A 49.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 94.0 %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep:	6.0 49.0 %	
Water Table Shallow: Erodibility:	6.0 49.0 % High - 94.0 %	

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.									

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ot Malletts Bay No	Reach M05 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material:	From Middle Road up Colchester 44.56 e: -73.15 195 : 187 No 2937 feet. 0.56 Mile 0.27 % 4343 feet. 0.82 Mile 0.18 % 1.48 4 Square Mile 24 feet. 260 feet. 10.8 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	stream to confluence with Southern Trib Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 39.0 % Current Dominant land Cover: 4.2 Corridor es. Historic Land Cover: Field es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 4.2 Corridor es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest Gurrent Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Courrent Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Corrept 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 319.4 7 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics3.1 Alluvial Fan:3.2 Grade Control:3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:Water Table Deep:Water Table Shallow:Erodibility:	5: None None at.: Glacial Lake 57.0 cal Mat.: Alluvial Hilly Steep D 37.0 % None/Rare 57.0 % 2.0 37.0 % 0.5 37.0 % High - 37.0 %	6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:Mid-channel% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:100Ratio:4.26.6 Wavelength:150Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	7
High	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	Low	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Of Malletts Bay	Reach M06
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.11 Reference Stream TyBedform:Sub-class Slope:Bed Material:	From confluence wit Colchester 44.55 235 195 No 4276 feet. 0.81 Mile 0.94 % 5055 feet. 0.96 Mil 0.79 % 1.18 3 Square Mile 20 feet. 191 feet. 9.3 Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	h Southern Trib upstream, under East Rd, <u>Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology</u> <i>4.1 Watershed</i> Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 37.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: <i>4.2 Corridor</i> ^{9S.} Historic Land Cover: Field es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 76.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Wetland 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant <u>Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications</u> 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 88 1 % 5.5 Dredging History: None
Step 3. Basin Characteristics3.1 Alluvial Fan:3.2 Grade Control:3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:Water Table Deep:Water Table Shallow:Erodibility:	S: None None at.: Glacial Lake 54.0 cal Mat.: Alluvial Hilly Hilly D 52.0 % None/Rare 58.0 % 1.0 45.0 % 0.0 90.0 % High - 29.0 %	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:00.2 Floodplain Development:00.3 Channel Bars:Mid-channel% 6.4 Meander Migration:Neck Cut-Off6.5 Meander Width:1006.6 Wavelength:1505tep 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Culvert

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6
High	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	Low	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Pond Brook	Reach M07
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER, ESSE	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. July 26. 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek Little O	tter I ake Champlain
Sub-watershed:	Mallette Bay	
la Daach an Impaundment?	Manetts Day	
is Reach an impoundment?	NO	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From approx. 850 ft	below railroad crossing up to the outlet of
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	• · · · • • • • · · · · ·
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.55	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -/3.13	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Upstroom:	200	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream.	- 235	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 36.0 %
2.1 Lievation Downstream 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	3052 feet. 0.58 Mil	4.2 Corridor es
2.3 Valley Slope:	4.75 %	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.4.Channel Length:	3201 feet. 0.61 Mi	les. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 49.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	4.53 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Urban
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.05	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	2 Square Mil	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	19 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal
2.9 Valley Width. 2.10 Confinement Ratio:	2 6 Teet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type:	Semi-confined	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: B	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 2 0 %
Bedform:	Step-Pool	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Drodging History: None
Bed Material:	Boulder	Stop 6. Eloodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	5:	6 1 Derms and Deader
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Eloodalain Dovelopment: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	Dam	6.3 Chappel Bars: Not Evaluated
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	at.: Glacial Lake 35.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Not Evaluated
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.: Ice-Contac	65 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 00
3.4 Left Valley Side	Extremely Steep	6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Extremely Steen	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils		7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	D 58.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 91.0 %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Bridge
Water Table Deep:	6.0 55.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	2.0 35.0 %	
Erodibility:	High - 78.0 %	

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.									

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Pond Brook ESSEX CENTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ot Malletts Bay	Reach M08 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location 1.1 Reach Description: 1.2 Towns:	Includes Colchester Colchester, Essex	Pond and tributary draining to Pond which stems
1.3 Downstream Latitude: 1.3 Downstream Longitude Step 2. Stream Type	44.55 e: -73.12	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology <i>4.1 Watershed</i> Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:	480 : 380 No 8327 feet. 1.58 Mile	Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 38.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: <i>4.2 Corridor</i>
2.3 Valley Slope: 2.4.Channel Length: 2.5 Channel Slope: 2.6 Sinuosity:	1.20 % 8611 feet. 1.63 Mile 1.16 % 1.03	Historic Land Cover: Forest es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 31.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Urban 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area: 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio:	2 Square Mile 18 feet. 120 feet. 67	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform:	Broad pe: C Riffle-Pool	5.1 Flow Regulation: Impoundment 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: None 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: None None
Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics	None Gravel	5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0
3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	None None at.: Till 77.0 cal Mat.: Glacial Lake	6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None % 6.4 Meander Migration: None 6 5 Meander Width: 21 Ratio: 1.2
3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils	Very Steep Very Steep	6.6 Wavelength:50Ratio:1.2Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None
Flooding: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow: Erodibility:	D 88.0 % None/Rare 100. % 6.0 71.0 % 2.0 68.0 % High - 94.0 %	7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	8
High	High	N.S.	High	High	N.S.	N.S.										

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Southern Tributary COLCHESTER Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ot Malletts Bay	Reach T1.01 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location		
 1.1 Reach Description: 1.2 Towns: 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 1.3 Downstream Longitude Step 2. Stream Type 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 2.1 Elevation Downstream 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle? 	Erom confluence with Colchester 44.55 215 215 195 No	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 42 Corridor
2.2 Valley Length: 2.3 Valley Slope: 2.4.Channel Length: 2.5 Channel Slope:	2732 feet. 0.52 Mile 0.73 % 3056 feet. 0.58 Mile 0.65 %	es. Historic Land Cover: Forest es. Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 49.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.6 Sinuosity: 2.7 Watershed Area: 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width:	1.12 1 Square Mile 13 feet. 147 feet.	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bodform:	11.6 Very Broad pe: E	5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:10 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone
Sub-class Slope: Bed Material:	None Sand	5.4 Channel Straightening: 91 2 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side	5: None None at.: Glacial Lake 76.0 cal Mat.: Ice-Contact Very Steep Very Steep	6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:1402 %6.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Not Evaluated6.5 Meander Width:61Ratio:6.6 Wavelength:100Ratio:Step 7. Windshield Survey
Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow: Erodibility:	Not Rated55.0%None/Rare99.0%6.022.0%6.022.0%High -31.0%	7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Culvert

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	8
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	Low	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Southern Tributary COLCHESTER Mon, July 16, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ott Malletts Bay No	Reach T1.02 ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material:	From the East Rd cro Colchester 44.54 2: -73.15 237 215 No 2302 feet. 0.44 Mile 0.96 % 2731 feet. 0.52 Mile 0.81 % 1.19 1 Square Mile 10 feet. 136 feet. 13.2 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed Historic Land Cover: Field Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 54.0 % Current Dominant land Cover: A.2 Corridor Step 4. Current Dominant land Cover: Historic Land Cover: Forest 4.2 Corridor Step 5. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest Step 6. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: None 5.4 Channel Straightening: None Step 6. Eloodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics3.1 Alluvial Fan:3.2 Grade Control:3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:Water Table Deep:Water Table Shallow:Erodibility:	None None at.: Glacial Lake 88.0 cal Mat.: Ice-Contact Extremely Steep Extremely Steep D 49.0 % None/Rare 100. % 2.0 49.0 % 0.5 49.0 % High - 99.0 %	Step 0. Hoodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:00.2 Floodplain Development:00.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Not Evaluated6.5 Meander Width:7171Ratio:6.6 Wavelength:7572 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
High	High	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.											

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Southern Tributary	Reach T1.03
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. July 26. 2007	
Watershed:	Lowis Crook Little Of	ter I ake Champlain
Sub watershed:	Mollotto Dov	
Sub-watersned.	Maneus Day	
Is Reach an Impoundment?	NO	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	Along Rt 2A up to in	dustrial property just above the confluence of a
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.54	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -73.14	4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type		Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Upstream:	298	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 57.0 %
2.1 Elevation Downstream	237	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.1 IS Gradient Gentle?	NO 2720 fact 0.71 Mil	4.2 Corridor
2.2 Valley Length.		Historic Land Cover: Shrub
2.5 Valley Slope. 2.4 Channel Length:	3963 foot 0 75 Mil	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 46.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope	1.54 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.06	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	1 Square Mil	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	10 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant
2.9 Valley Width:	133 feet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	13.5	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Very Broad	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: E	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.4 Channel Straightening: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Sand	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	<u>8:</u>	6.1 Berms and Roads: 00
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t.: Ice-Contact 58.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.: Glacial Lake	6.5 Meander Width: 65 Ratio: 6.6
3.4 Left Valley Side	Steep	6.6 Wavelength: 70 Ratio: 7.1
3.4 Right Valley Side	Steep	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Solls		7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	A 58.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep:	6.0 58.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 58.0 %	
Eroaibility:	Hign - 96.0 %	

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
High	Low	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.											

Phase 1 - Reach	Summary	Report
-----------------	---------	--------

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Southern Tributary COLCHESTER, ESSE Thu, July 26, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ott Malletts Bay No	Reach T1.04 X CENTER ter, Lake Champlain
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Ratio:2.11 Reference Stream TyBedform:Sub-class Slope:Bed Material:	Through industrial pr Colchester, Essex 44.53 e: -73.13 340 : 298 No 3608 feet. 0.68 Mile 1.16 % 3795 feet. 0.72 Mile 1.11 % 1.05 0 Square Mile 7 feet. 156 feet. 20.8 Very Broad pe: C Riffle-Pool None Gravel	roperty and along Rt. 2A beneath railroad and upStep 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology4.1 WatershedHistoric Land Cover:ShrubCurrent Dominant land Cover:Forest55.0 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:4.2 Corridor*S.Current Dominant land Cover:*S.Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:*S.Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:*S.Siparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100*A.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100*A.4 Ground Water Inputs:MinimalStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:40 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:100426 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics3.1 Alluvial Fan:3.2 Grade Control:3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:Water Table Deep:Water Table Shallow:Erodibility:	None None None None nt.: Ice-Contact 99.0 cal Mat.: Till Hilly Till Hilly Hilly Hilly 100. % 6.0 100. % High - 76.0 %	6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:124527 %6.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Not Evaluated6.5 Meander Width:35Ratio: 4.76.6 Wavelength:60Ratio: 8.0Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Culvert

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
High	High	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	

Basin: Stream Name: Topo Maps: Date Last Edited: Watershed: Sub-watershed: Is Reach an Impoundment?	Northern Champlain Southern Tributary ESSEX CENTER Mon, July 16, 2007 Lewis Creek, Little Ott Malletts Bay	Reach T1.05
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:1.2 Towns:1.3 Downstream Latitude:1.3 Downstream LongitudeStep 2. Stream Type2.1 Elevation Upstream:2.1 Elevation Downstream2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?2.2 Valley Length:2.3 Valley Slope:2.4 Channel Length:2.5 Channel Slope:2.6 Sinuosity:2.7 Watershed Area:2.8 Channel Width:2.9 Valley Width:2.10 Confinement Type:	From approx. 450 ft a Essex 44.53 e: -73.12 440 x: 340 No 2460 feet. 0.47 Mile 4.07 % 2496 feet. 0.47 Mile 4.01 % 1.01 0 Square Mile 5 feet. 30 feet. 6.0 Narrow	bove Gentes Rd crossing up to termination of Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology 4.1 Watershed Historic Land Cover: Forest Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 89.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor S. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 65.0 % Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 s 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culworts: 0 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow: Erodibility:	rpe: B Step-Pool None Cobble S: None None At.: Till 48.0 % Cal Mat.: Glacial Lake Extremely Steep Extremely Steep Extremely Steep Extremely Steep Extremely Steep 0 90.0 % None/Rare 100. % 6.0 52.0 % 0.0 42.0 % High - 79.0 %	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: None None 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None % 6.4 Meander Migration: Not Evaluated 6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0 6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0 Step 7. Windshield Survey No Data 7.2 Bank Erosion: No Data 7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: None

7.4 Comments:

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N.S.																

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Smith Creek	Reach M01
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. Julv 26. 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek, Little Ott	er. Lake Champlain
Sub-watershed	Malletts Bay	
ls Poach an Impoundment?	No	
Is Reach an impoundment?		
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From Outlet at Mallet	ts Bay up to break just east of Colchester Middle
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.55	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -/3.21	4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type	405	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.1 Elevation Upstream:	165	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 36.0 %
2.1 Elevation Downstream	. 95 No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.1 IS Gladient Gentle? 2.2 Valley Length:	3151 feet 0.60 Mile	4.2 Corridor
2.3 Valley Slope	2 22 %	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.4 Channel Length:	3189 feet. 0.60 Mile	Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 75.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	2.20 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.01	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	1 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	15 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal
2.9 Valley Width:	50 feet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	3.3	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Semi-confined	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: B	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.4 Channel Straightening: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Sand	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	<u>8:</u>	6.1 Berms and Roads: 00
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: Not Evaluated
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	at.: Ice-Contact 100.	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Not Evaluated
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.:	6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side	Very Steep	6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Very Steep	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils	2 1	7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	B 71.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep:	6.0 100. %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 100. %	
Erodibility:	High - 90.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
High	High	N.S.														

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Smith Creek	Reach M02
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. July 26, 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek, Little Ott	er. I ake Champlain
Sub-watershed	Malletts Bay	
ls Poach an Impoundment?	No	
is Reach an impoundment?	NU	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From Colchester Mide	dle School Fields up to Williams Road Crossing.
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.54	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -/3.21	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Upstroam:	175	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.1 Elevation Downstream	165	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 41.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	2516 feet. 0.48 Mile	4.2 Corridor
2.3 Valley Slope:	0.40 %	Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.4.Channel Length:	2577 feet. 0.49 Mile	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 52.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	0.39 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.02	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	1 Square Mile	s 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	14 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant
2.9 Valley Wildin. 2.10 Confinement Ratio:	9 7	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type:	Broad	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.11 Reference Stream Tv	biodd be: E	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 1 0 %
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.4 Channel Straightening: None None
Bed Material:	Sand	5.5 Dieuging Filstory. None
Step 3 Basin Characteristics	x.	
3.1 Alluvial Fan	 None	6.1 Berms and Roads: U U
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.2 Chapped Pare: Net Evaluated
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t: Ice-Contact 100.	% 6.4 Moondor Migration: Not Evaluated
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic	cal Mat.:	6.5 Meander Width: 100 Patio: 6.0
3.4 Left Vallev Side	Very Steen	6.6 Wavelength: 110 Ratio: 7.6
3.4 Right Valley Side	Very Steep	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils	very orech	7 2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	A 100. %	7 2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential Culvert
Water Table Deep:	6.0 100. %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 100. %	
Erodibility:	High - 81.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
High	High	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.							

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Smith Creek	Reach M03
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. July 26, 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek Little Of	ter I ake Champlain
Sub-watershed:	Mallotte Bay	
la Daach an Impaundment?		
is Reach an impoundment?	Ĩ	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From Williams Road	crossing up to approx. 800 ft upstream of I-89
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -/3.20	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Unstroam:	100	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream	190 · 175	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 46.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	2855 feet. 0.54 Mile	4.2 Corridor es.
2.3 Valley Slope:	0.53 %	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.4.Channel Length:	3194 feet. 0.60 Mil	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 27.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	0.47 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.12	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	1 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
2.8 Channel Width:	13 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Patie:	13 feet. 130 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type:	13 teet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:5.2 Bridges and Culverts:305.3 Bank Armoring:None5.4 Observed Othering
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope:	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad vpe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStap 6. Eleadalain Medifications
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3 Basin Characteristics	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad vpe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:305.3 Bank Armoring:None5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fap:	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand Sand	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:00
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: <u>Step 3. Basin Characteristics</u> 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control:	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad vpe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None None None	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:5345.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:0006.2 Floodplain Development:0
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None None None None One Sand	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 0 0 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None None
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Material 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None None None at.: Glacial Lake 40.0 cal Mat.: Till	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 0 0 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None Migration % 6.4 Meander Migration: 65 Detict 54
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None None at.: Glacial Lake 40.0 cal Mat.: Till Hilly	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None % 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration 6.5 Meander Width: 65 Ratio: 5.1 6 Wavelength: 75 Ratio: 5.8
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad Signal None Sand Signal Sig	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:30 %5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:65Ratio:5.6 Wavelength:75Ratio:5.8 Step 7, Windshield Survey
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Material: 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad vpe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None At.: Glacial Lake 40.0 cal Mat.: Till Hilly Hilly Hilly	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 0 0 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None None % 6.4 Meander Migration: 65 Ratio: 5.1 6.6 Wavelength: 75 Ratio: 5.8 Step 7. Windshield Survey 72 Bank Erosion: None
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad pe: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None At.: Glacial Lake 40.0 cal Mat.: Till Hilly Hilly Hilly 29.0 %	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 0 0 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None Migration % 6.4 Meander Migration: 65 Ratio: 5.1 6.6 Wavelength: 75 Ratio: 5.8 Step 7. Windshield Survey 7.2 Bank Erosion: None None 7.2 Bank Height: None None None
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad ve: E Dune-Ripple None Sand S: None At.: Glacial Lake 40.0 cal Mat.: Till Hilly None/Rare 100. %	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None % 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration 6.5 Meander Width: 65 Ratio: 5.1 6.6 Wavelength: 75 Ratio: 5.8 Step 7. Windshield Survey 7.2 Bank Erosion: None 7.2 Bank Height: No Data 7.3 Ice/Debris Iam Potential: Culvert
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad None Sand S: None Sand S: None None Sand S: None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None Sand S: None None None None Sand S: None None Siglicial Lake 40.0 Cal Mat.: Till Hilly Hilly Hilly Siglicial Siglicial Siglicial Siglicial Siglicial Siglicial Siglicial	4.4 Ground Water Inputs:AbundantStep 5. Instream Channel Modifications5.1 Flow Regulation:None5.2 Bridges and Culverts:305.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:53416 %5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Floodplain Modifications6.1 Berms and Roads:006.2 Floodplain Development:006.3 Channel Bars:None% 6.4 Meander Migration:Migration6.5 Meander Width:65Ratio:5.6 Wavelength:75Ratio:7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:Culvert
 2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.10 Confinement Type: 2.11 Reference Stream Ty Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material: Step 3. Basin Characteristics 3.1 Alluvial Fan: 3.2 Grade Control: 3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma 3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow: 	13 feet. 130 feet. 10.1 Very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad very Broad Simular Dune-Ripple None Sand Simular Sand Simular None Sand Simular Sand Simular None Sand Simular None Sand Simular Simular Simular None Sand Simular	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 5.1 Flow Regulation: None 0 % 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 3 0 % 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None 5.4 Channel Straightening: 534 16 % 5.5 Dredging History: None None Step 6. Floodplain Modifications 0 0 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0 6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0 6.3 Channel Bars: None % 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration 6.5 Meander Width: 65 Ratio: 5.1 6.6 Wavelength: 75 Ratio: 5.8 Step 7. Windshield Survey 7.2 Bank Erosion: None 7.2 Bank Height: No Data No Data 7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Smith Creek	Reach M04
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited	Thu July 26 2007	
Watershed:	Lowis Crook Little Of	ter I ake Champlain
Sub watershed	Lewis Cleek, Little Ot Mellette Dev	
Sub-watershed.		
Is Reach an Impoundment?	NO	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From confluence wit	h Trib 1 up to second (eastern) crossing in
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	: -73.19	4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type		Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Upstream:	205	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 41.0 %
2.1 Elevation Downstream:	190	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?		4.2 Corridor
2.2 Valley Length:		es. Historic Land Cover: Forest
2.5 Valley Slope. 2.4 Channel Length:	0.00 /0 260/ foot 0/0 Mil	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 30.0 %
2.4. Channel Slope	058 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.05	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	0 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	9 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Abundant
2.9 Valley Width:	231 feet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	26.5	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Very Broad	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 2 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe:E	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.4 Channel Straightening: 716 27 %
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Sand	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	5	6.1 Berms and Roads: 00
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 147.3 2 %
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t.: Ice-Contact 97.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: None
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic	cal Mat.: Glacial Lake	6.5 Meander Width: 20 Ratio: 2.3
3.4 Left Valley Side	Hilly	6.6 Wavelength: 35 Ratio: 4.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils	-	7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	B 47.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep:	3.0 45.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	1.5 45.0 %	
Erodibility:	High - 30.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	10
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	High	N.S.	N.S.	

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Smith Creek	Reach M05
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu. Julv 26. 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek, Little Ot	ter. Lake Champlain
Sub-watershed:	Malletts Bay	
la Baach an Impoundment?	No.	
is Reach an impoundment?	NU	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From Edgewoods Es	tates up to stormwater pond outlet from
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	. .
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -/3.19	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Unstram:	200	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream	200 · 205	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 41.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	3508 feet. 0.66 Mile	4.2 Corridor es
2.3 Valley Slope:	2.14 %	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.4.Channel Length:	3582 feet. 0.68 Mile	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 23.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	2.09 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.02	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.7 Watershed Area:	0 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	5 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Winimal
2.9 Valley Wildin. 2.10 Confinement Ratio:	100 teet. 189	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type:	Very Broad	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.11 Reference Stream Tv	pe: B	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: U U %
Bedform:	Riffle-Pool	5.3 Bank Armoning: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.4 Channel Straightening. None None
Bed Material:	Gravel	Step 6. Eloodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	5:	6 1 Porms and Poods:
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Eloodalain Dovelopment: 109.1 1.9
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	at.: Ice-Contact 70.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: None
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.: Glacial Lake	6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
•		6.6 Woyclongth: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side	HIIIV	
3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils	Hilly Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey None 7.2 Bank Erosion: None
3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group:	Hilly Hilly A 70.0 %	Step 7. Windshield SurveyNotRatio.0.07.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data
3.4 Left Valley Side3.4 Right Valley Side3.5 SoilsHydrologic Group:Flooding:	Hilly Hilly A 70.0 % None/Rare 100. %	Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:7.2 Bank Height:7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None
 3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: 	Hilly Hilly A 70.0 % None/Rare 100. % 6.0 71.0 %	Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None
3.4 Left Valley Side 3.4 Right Valley Side 3.5 Soils Hydrologic Group: Flooding: Water Table Deep: Water Table Shallow:	Hilly Hilly A 70.0 % None/Rare 100. % 6.0 71.0 % 6.0 71.0 %	Step 7. Windshield Survey7.2 Bank Erosion:None7.2 Bank Height:No Data7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential:None

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
High	High	N.S.														

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name [.]	Northern Tributary	Reach T1.01
Topo Maps:	COI CHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Eri July 13 2007	
Materohad	Lowio Crook Little Of	tor Laka Champlain
	Lewis Greek, Little Ot	ler, Lake Grampian
Sub-watersned:	Malletts Bay	
Is Reach an Impoundment?	No	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From confluence wit	h main stem up to approx. 100 ft. above the
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -73.19	4.1 Watershed
Step 2. Stream Type		Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Upstream:	200	Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 45.0 %
2.1 Elevation Downstream	: 190	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	4.2 Corridor
2.2 Valley Length:	1646 feet. 0.31 Mile	es. Historic Land Cover: Field
2.3 Valley Slope:	U.01 %	Current Dominant land Cover: Cron 24.0 %
2.4. Channel Length. 2.5 Channel Slope:	0.51 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.5 Charmer Slope. 2.6 Sinuosity:	1 12	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100
2.0 On dosity: 2.7 Watershed Area	0 Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width:	7 feet.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal
2.9 Valley Width:	206 feet.	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	28.9	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Very Broad	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 0 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: E	5.3 Bank Armoring: 0 0
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.4 Channel Straightening: 0
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Sand	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	S:	6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	at.: Glacial Lake 59.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.: Ice-Contact	6.5 Meander Width: 41 Ratio: 5.8
3.4 Left Valley Side	Hilly	6.6 Wavelength: 70 Ratio: 9.8
3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils		7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	B 98.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: None
Water Table Deep:	6.0 58.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 58.0 %	
Erodibility:	High - 58.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
High	High	N.S.														

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Northern Tributary	Reach T1.02
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu, July 26, 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek, Little Of	ter. Lake Champlain
Sub-watershed	Malletts Bay	
la Baach an Impoundment?	No.	
is Reach an impoundment?	NU	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From above conflue	nce with subtributaries entering from north to
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
1.3 Downstream Longitude	e: -73.19	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Upstroam:	290	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream	200	Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 28.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	2875 feet. 0.54 Mile	
2.3 Valley Slope:	2.78 %	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.4.Channel Length:	2934 feet. 0.56 Mil	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Crop 29.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	2.73 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Urban
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.02	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: 26-50
2.7 Watershed Area:	U Square Mil	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: 26-50
2.6 Channel Width. 2.9 Valley Width:	4 Ieel. 75 foot	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Winninal
2.0 Confinement Ratio	18 ₋ 0	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type:	Verv Broad	5.1 Flow Regulation: Impoundment
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: B	5.2 Bindges and Cuiverts. I U %
Bedform:	Riffle-Pool	5.5 Dank Annolning. None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: Nono
Bed Material:	Gravel	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	8:	6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 189 .3 %
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t.: Ice-Contact 95.0	% 6.4 Meander Migration: Migration
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic	cal Mat.: Till	6.5 Meander Width: 30 Ratio 7.2
3.4 Left Valley Side	Hilly	6.6 Wavelength: 70 Ratio: 16.8
3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils	,	7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	A 77.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep:	6.0 84.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 84.0 %	
Eroaibility:	riign - 54.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
High	High	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.	N.S.	

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Middle Tributary	Reach T2.01
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu, July 26, 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek. Little Ott	ter. Lake Champlain
Sub-watershed:	Malletts Bav	
Is Reach an Impoundment?	No	
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	From Edgewood Esta	ates crossing (east) up to confluence with
1.2 Iowns:	Colonester	Stop 4 Land Cover Baseh Hydrolegy
1.3 Downstream Longitude:	44.55 · .73.10	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
Step 2. Stream Type	/0.10	4.1 Watersned
2.1 Elevation Upstream	220	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream:	210	Current Sub Dominant Land Cover: 33.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	4.2 Corridor
2.2 Valley Length:	1408 feet. 0.27 Mile	PS. Listeria Land Caver. Field
2.3 Valley Slope:	0.71 %	Alistonic Land Cover: Field
2.4.Channel Length:	1458 feet. 0.28 Mile	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 35.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	0.69 %	4.2 Piparian Puffer Loft Pank: >100
2.6 Sinuosity: 2.7 Watershed Area:	1.04 O Square Mile	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Dight Bank: 51,100
2.7 Watershed Area. 2.8 Channel Width	6 feet	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal
2.9 Valley Width:	75 feet	Step 5 Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Ratio:	13.4	5.1 Flow Pogulation: None
2.10 Confinement Type:	Very Broad	5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 2 0 %
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: E	5.3 Bank Armoring: None None
Bedform:	Dune-Ripple	5.4 Channel Straightening: None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Sand	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	5.	6.1 Berms and Roads: 369 12 %
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Floodplain Development: 382 13 %
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t.: Ice-Contact 100.	% 6.4 Meander Migration: None
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologic	cal Mat.:	6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side	Hilly	6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 SOIIS	D 70 0 0/	7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	U (2.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding: Water Table Deep	NOTE/Rate 100. $\%$	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: Culvert
Water Table Deep.		
Erodibility:	High - 0.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	Low	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Basin:	Northern Champlain	
Stream Name:	Blakely Road Tributar	y Reach T2.01.S1.01
Topo Maps:	COLCHESTER	
Date Last Edited:	Thu, July 26, 2007	
Watershed:	Lewis Creek. Little Ot	ter. Lake Champlain
Sub-watershed	Malletts Bay	
ls Reach an Impoundment?	No	
is Reach an impoundment?		
Step 1. Reach Location		
1.1 Reach Description:	Along south side of E	Blakely Road up to just south of intersection with
1.2 Towns:	Colchester	Oten Allend Osven, Deset Underland
1.3 Downstream Latitude:	44.53	Step 4. Land Cover - Reach Hydrology
Sten 2 Stream Type	;. -/ 3.10	4.1 Watershed
2.1 Elevation Unstream	260	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.1 Elevation Downstream	200	Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 39.0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?	No	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:
2.2 Valley Length:	1065 feet. 0.20 Mile	4.2 Contaon es
2.3 Valley Slope:	3.76 %	Historic Land Cover: Field
2.4.Channel Length:	1074 feet. 0.20 Mile	es. Current Dominant land Cover: Urban 52.0 %
2.5 Channel Slope:	3.72 %	Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest
2.6 Sinuosity:	1.01	4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: 51-100
2.7 Watershed Area:	U Square Mile	es 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >100
2.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width:	3 leel.	4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Winimai
2.9 Valley Width. 2.10 Confinement Ratio:	14 4	Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications
2.10 Confinement Type:	Verv Broad	5.1 Flow Regulation: None
2.11 Reference Stream Ty	pe: B	5.2 Bridges and Cuiverts: 1 U %
Bedform:	Riffle-Pool	5.5 Dalik Almoning. None None
Sub-class Slope:	None	5.5 Dredging History: None
Bed Material:	Gravel	Step 6. Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics	5	6.1 Perms and Deade:
3.1 Alluvial Fan:	None	6.2 Eloodolain Development: 0 0
3.2 Grade Control:	None	6.3 Channel Bars: None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Ma	t.: Ice-Contact 100.	% 6.4 Meander Migration: None
3.3 Sub-dominant Geologi	cal Mat.:	6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side	Hilly	6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side	Hilly	Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Soils		7.2 Bank Frosion: None
Hydrologic Group:	A 55.0 %	7.2 Bank Height: No Data
Flooding:	None/Rare 100. %	7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: None
Water Table Deep:	6.0 55.0 %	
Water Table Shallow:	6.0 55.0 %	
Erodibility:	High - 27.0 %	

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
High	High	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	High	N.S.							

Phase 1 - Reach Summary Report

Stream Name: Middle Tributary Reach T2.02 Topo Maps: COLCHESTER Date Last Edited: Thu, July 26, 2007 Watershed: Lewis Creek, Little Otter, Lake Champlain Sub-watershed: Malletts Bay Is Reach an Impoundment? No Step 1. Reach Location 1.1 Reach Description: 1.1 Reach Description: From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west 2.1 Cohester 44.53 3. Downstream Latitude: 44.53 3. Downstream Longitude: -73.18 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 280 2.2 Valley Length: 1700 feet. 2.3 Valley Slope: 3.53 % 2.4 Channel Length: 1712 feet. 2.5 Channel Slope: 3.50 % 2.6 Sinuosity: 1.01 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 2.7 Watershed Area: 0 2.9 Valley Width: 44.6 2.9 Valley Width: 44.6 2.9 Valley Width: 44.6 2.9 Valley Width: 4.6 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle? 5.1 Flow Regulat
Topo Maps:COLCHESTERDate Last Edited:Thu, July 26, 2007Watershed:Lewis Creek, Little Otter, Lake ChamplainSub-watershed:Malletts BayIs Reach an Impoundment?NoStep 1. Reach LocationFrom confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.1 Reach Description:From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.2 Towns:Colchester1.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.182.1 Elevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Elevation Upstream:2202.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.0.3 Calley Slope:3.533.50 %Current Dominant Land Cover:2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.3 Square MilesCurrent Dominant Land Cover:2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.4 feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:2.9 Valley Width:44.4 feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:3.4.6 feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:3.4.6 feet.3.10 Confinement Ratio:3.4.6 feet.3.11 Reference Stream Type:BBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:A.6 feet.3.12 Reference Stream Type:BBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:GravelS
Date Last Edited:Thu, July 26, 2007Watershed:Lewis Creek, Little Otter, Lake ChamplainSub-watershed:Malletts BayIs Reach an Impoundment?NoStep 1. Reach LocationFrom confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.1 Reach Description:From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.2 Towns:Colchester1.3 Downstream Latitude:44.531.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.182.1 Elevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant Land Cover:2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant Land Cover:2.6 Sinuosity:1.012.7 Watershed Area:02.9 Valley Width:44.4 feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.63.10 Confinement Type:82.11 Reference Stream Type:885.1 Flow Regulation:9None2.10 Confinement Type:81.11 Reference Stream Type:885.1 Flow Regulation:9995.2 Bridges and Culverts:9095.3 Bank Armoring:9995.5 Dredging History:9996.5 Dredging History:999
Watershed: Lewis Creek, Little Otter, Lake Champlain Sub-watershed: Malletts Bay Is Reach an Impoundment? No Step 1. Reach Location From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west 1.1 Reach Description: From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west 1.2 Towns: 44.53 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 44.53 3.50 wonstream Longitude: -73.18 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 280 2.2 Valley Length: 1700 feet. 2.3 Valley Slope: 3.53 % 2.4.Channel Length: 1712 feet. 1.01 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 2.7 Watershed Area: 0 2.8 Channel Width: 4 4.4 feet. 4.4 Ground Water Inputs: Minimal 2.9 Valley Width: 145 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 34.6 3.10 FielePool 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 0 3.10 Confinement Type: 8 2.11 Reference Stream Type: 8 3.20 Confinement Ratio: 34.6 3
Sub-watershed: Malletts Bay Is Reach an Impoundment? No Step 1. Reach Location 1.1 Reach Description: 1.1 Reach Description: From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west 1.2 Towns: Colchester 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 44.53 1.3 Downstream Longitude: -73.18 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 220 2.2 Valley Length: 1700 feet. 2.3 Valley Slope: 3.53 % 2.4 Channel Length: 1712 feet. 0.32 Miles. Current Dominant land Cover: Forest 17.0 % 2.5 Channel Slope: 3.50 % 2.6 Sinuosity: 1.01 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 2.7 Watershed Area: 0 Square Miles 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 2.8 Channel Width: 4 feet. 3.9 Valley Width: 145 feet. 3.10 Confinement Ratio: 34.6 5.1 Flow Regulation: 2.10 Confinement Type: Bedform: Step 5.1 Ream Channel Modifications 3.11 Reference Stream Type: Riffle-Pool
Is Reach an Impoundment? No Step 1. Reach Location 1.1 Reach Description: 1.1 Reach Description: From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west 1.2 Towns: Colchester 1.3 Downstream Latitude: 44.53 1.3 Downstream Longitude: -73.18 2.1 Elevation Upstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 280 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 220 2.2 Valley Length: 1700 feet. 2.3 Valley Slope: 3.53 % 2.4 Channel Length: 1712 feet. 2.5 Channel Slope: 3.50 % 2.6 Sinuosity: 1.01 4.5 feet. 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100 2.7 Watershed Area: 0 Square Miles 2.9 Valley Width: 145 feet. 2.9 Valley Width: 145 feet. 2.10 Confinement Type: Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications 2.10 Confinement Type: Step 6. Inover Strage and Culverts: 2.10 Confinement Type: Riffle-Pool 3.46 5.3 Bank Armoring: None 3.51 Pool 5.4 Channel Straightening: None 3.51 F
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.2 Towns:Colchester1.3 Downstream Latitude:44.531.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.181.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.182.1 Elevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %2.6 Sinuosity:1.012.7 Watershed Area:02.9 Valley Width:1452.9 Valley Width:1452.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.11 Reference Stream Type:Bedform:Bedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:GravelSub-class Slope:NoneSub-class Slope:None
Step 1. Reach Location1.1 Reach Description:From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.2 Towns:Colchester1.3 Downstream Latitude:44.531.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.181.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.182.1 Elevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %2.6 Sinuosity:1.012.7 Watershed Area:02.8 Channel Width:44.9 Valley Width:2.9 Valley Width:1452.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.11 Reference Stream Type:Bedform:Bedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:Gravel
1.1 Reach Description:From confluence with Blakely Rd tributary up to source at farm west1.2 Towns:Colchester1.3 Downstream Latitude:44.531.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.18Step 2. Stream Type-73.182.1 Elevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Is Gradient Gentle?No2.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %2.6 Sinuosity:1.012.7 Watershed Area:02.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.11 Reference Stream Type:Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.11 Reference Stream Type:8Bedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:GravelStep 6. Elordplain Modifications3.4 65.1 Flow Regulation:None5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNoneNone5.4 Channel Straightening:None5.5 Dredging History:None5.4 Channel Straightening:None5.4 Channel Straightening:Sub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:Gravel
1.2 Towns:Conclusion1.3 Downstream Latitude:44.531.3 Downstream Longitude:-73.182.1 Bevation Upstream:2802.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Elevation Downstream:2202.1 Is Gradient Gentle?No2.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %2.6 Sinuosity:1.012.7 Watershed Area:02.9 Valley Width:1.014.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.9 Valley Width:145 feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.11 Reference Stream Type:5.1 Flow Regulation:2.11 Reference Stream Type:83.12 Commer5.3 Bank Armoring:3.13 Commer83.14 Reference Stream Type:83.15 Rifle-Pool5.4 Channel Straightening:3.11 Reference Stream Type:83.12 Commer83.13 Commer83.14 Reference Stream Type:83.15 Rifle-Pool5.4 Channel Straightening:3.11 Reference Stream Type:83.12 Reference Stream Type:1003.13 Reference Stream Type:83.14 Reference Stream Type:83.15 Reference Stream Type:5.1 Flow Regulation:3.11 Reference Stream Type:83.12 Reference Stream Type:1003.13 Reference Stream
1.3 Downstream Lantide:44.33Step 4: Land Cover Preach Preac
Step 2. Stream Type280Historic Land Cover:Field2.1 Elevation Upstream:220Current Dominant land Cover:Forest32.0 %2.1 Elevation Downstream:220Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:Forest32.0 %2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?No4.2 Corridor4.2 Corridor2.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:Field2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover:Forest17.0 %2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:Forest17.0 %2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover:Forest17.0 %2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Type:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:B5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNone3.11 Reference Stream Type:Riffle-Pool5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:None5.5 Dredging History:NoneNoneBed Material:GravelStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications5.5 Dredging History:None
2.1 Elevation Upstream: 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle? 2.2 Valley Length: 2.3 Valley Slope: 2.5 Channel Slope: 2.5 Channel Slope: 2.6 Sinuosity: 2.7 Watershed Area: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.9 Valley Width: 2.1 Elevation Downstream: 2.2 Valley Length: 1.011.01 2.3 Valles. 0.32 Miles. 0.32 Miles. 0.32 Miles. Current Dominant Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor Historic Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor Historic Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor Historic Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor Historic Land Cover: Field Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Forest Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Cover: Courrent Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Cover: Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Cover: Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Cover: Cover: Cover: Cover: Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Cover
2.1 Elevation Downstream: 2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?220Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: 4.2 Corridor2.2 Valley Length: 2.3 Valley Slope: 2.4 Channel Length:1700 feet. 3.53 %0.32 Miles.4.2 Corridor2.4 Channel Length: 2.5 Channel Slope: 2.6 Sinuosity:1712 feet. 3.50 %0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover: Forest Historic Land Cover: Forest17.0 % 17.0 %2.6 Sinuosity: 2.7 Watershed Area: 2.9 Valley Width:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >100>1002.8 Channel Width: 2.9 Valley Width:4feet. 1454.4 Ground Water Inputs: 5.1 Istream Channel ModificationsMinimal2.9 Valley Width: 2.10 Confinement Ratio: 2.11 Reference Stream Type: Bedform: Sub-class Slope: Bed Material:34.65.1 Flow Regulation: 5.1 Flow Regulation: 5.2 Bridges and Culverts: 5.3 Bank Armoring: 5.4 Channel Straightening: None Step 6 Eloodplain Modifications0 %
2.1 Is Gradient Gentle?No4.2 Corridor2.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.0.32 Miles.4.2 Corridor2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %0.32 Miles.Historic Land Cover:Field2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover: Forest17.0 %2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: CropCurrent Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:B5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolS.4 Channel Straightening:NoneBed Material:GravelStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.2 Valley Length:1700 feet.0.32 Miles.4.2 Connuct2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %Historic Land Cover:Field2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover: Forest17.0 %2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles 4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:2.9 Valley Width:145feet.Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:BStiffle-Pool5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolNone5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolStep 6. Eloodplain ModificationsBed Material:GravelStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.3 Valley Slope:3.53 %Historic Land Cover.Field2.4 Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover: Forest17.0 %2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:BRiffle-Pool5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneSub-class Slope:NoneStep 6. Dredging History:NoneNone5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Eloodplain ModificationsStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.4.Channel Length:1712 feet.0.32 Miles.Current Dominant land Cover: Forest17.0 %2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover: Crop2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:2.9 Valley Width:145feet.5.1 Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:BStiffle-Pool5.2 Bridges and Culverts:00 %Sub-class Slope:NoneRiffle-Pool5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications5.5 Dredging History:None
2.5 Channel Slope:3.50 %Current Sub-Dominant Land Cover. Crop2.6 Sinuosity:1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank: >1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank: >1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.5.1 Flow Regulation:None2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad5.2 Bridges and Culverts:00 %2.11 Reference Stream Type:BSigfile-Pool5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:NoneGravel5.5 Dredging History:NoneStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.6 Sindosity.1.014.3 Riparian Buffer - Left Bank.>1002.7 Watershed Area:0Square Miles4.3 Riparian Buffer - Right Bank:>1002.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.5tep 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.6Step 5. Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad5.1 Flow Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:B5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:Riffle-PoolNone5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:GravelGravelStep 6. Eloodplain ModificationsStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.7 Watershed Area.03duate Wiles 4.3 Riparan Burler - Right Bank.2.8 Channel Width:4feet.4.4 Ground Water Inputs:Minimal2.9 Valley Width:145feet.5.1 Instream Channel Modifications2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad5.2 Bridges and Culverts:002.11 Reference Stream Type:B5.3 Bank Armoring:NoneNoneSub-class Slope:None5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneNoneBed Material:GravelStep 6. Eloodplain ModificationsStep 6. Eloodplain Modifications
2.9 Valley Width:145feet.2.9 Valley Width:145feet.2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.62.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad2.11 Reference Stream Type: BSub-class Slope:Bedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:Gravel
2.10 Confinement Ratio:34.65.1 Flow Regulation:None2.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad5.1 Flow Regulation:02.11 Reference Stream Type:BBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:Gravel
2.10 Confinement Type:Very Broad5.1 How Regulation:None2.11 Reference Stream Type:BBedform:Riffle-PoolSub-class Slope:NoneBed Material:Gravel
2.11 Reference Stream Type: B 5.2 Bhages and Odiverts: C C 70 Bedform: Riffle-Pool 5.3 Bank Armoring: None None Sub-class Slope: None 5.4 Channel Straightening: None None Bed Material: Gravel Step 6 Eloodplain Modifications
Bedform:Riffle-Pool5.6 Dame (International)Sub-class Slope:None5.4 Channel Straightening:NoneBed Material:GravelStep 6 Eloodplain Modifications
Sub-class Slope: None 5.5 Dredging History: None Bed Material: Gravel Step 6 Eloodplain Modifications
Bed Material: Gravel Step 6 Floodplain Modifications
Step 3. Basin Characteristics: 6.1 Berms and Roads: 0 0
3.1 Alluvial Fan: None 6.2 Floodplain Development: 222 6 %
3.2 Grade Control: None 6.3 Channel Bars: None None
3.3 Dominant Geologic Mat.: Ice-Contact 93.0 % 6.4 Meander Migration: None
3.3 Sub-dominant Geological Mat.: Glacial Lake 6.5 Meander Width: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Left Valley Side Hilly 6.6 Wavelength: Not Ratio: 0.0
3.4 Right Valley Side Hilly Step 7. Windshield Survey
3.5 Solls 7.2 Bank Erosion: None
Hydrologic Group: A 90.0 % 7.2 Bank Height: No Data
None/Kare 100. % 7.3 Ice/Debris Jam Potential: None
Water Table Deep: 6.0 90.0 %
Frodibility: High - 97.0 %

4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	7.2	7.3	Total
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
High	High	N.S.	Low	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.							

APPENDIX D

QA/QC SUMMARY

Phase 1 QA Review: Pond Brook

Reviewed by Jared Carrano

Pond Brook Phase 1 data was collected by Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. Documentation of their meta-data and data collection information has been provided; along with the SGAT and FIT project files.

Overall the data is good and few QA issues will need to be addressed. QA notes for relevant reaches are listed. For the DEC-RMP QA, the 2003 NAIP photos and USGS topographic maps, were used in conjunction with shapefiles provided by Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. The following QA notes are suggestions for possible data corrections/modifications and/or things to be aware of when using the data.

The assessor should review the following comments to determine if they need to change/modify their information and provide documentation on where changes to the original data were made, or where a change was not warranted.

Reach Number:

M02 Do you think parts of this reach were straightened? The channel itself seems somewhat straight, but I think sometimes this is an effect of the way swampy reaches get digitized. The adjacent land use doesn't overwhelmingly support straightening, especially on the up-stream length indexed, but it could very well be. I thought I'd point it out for a double check. The historic land use (from 1937 aerial photography) suggests that small sections of the reach were straightened. Data have been kept. (EPF; 7/25/07)

M06 &

- M07 You have manually entered an impoundment for each of these reaches, but it appears only M08 actually has one. Plus, you have indexed the impoundment location in M07(most likely by mistake as it is right on the reach break). Also, in the (near) future you will not be able to manually enter this field. There is a bug in the FIT upload that is preventing the automatic entry into the DMS.
 Data for impoundment on reaches M06 and M07 (originally entered to indicate upstream impact, as in Phase 2 methods) have been removed. Impoundment location has been moved to M08 (EPF; 7/25/07)
 M07 You've only indexed one bridge/culvert for this reach. It appears that there is another (culvert?) near the upstream reach break.
 Bridge just upstream from grade control has been entered. (EPF; 7/25/07)
- T1.03 There is a bridge/culvert that did not get indexed in this reach. Bridge just downstream from reach break has been entered. (EPF; 7/25/07)

Phase 1 QA Review: Smith Creek Reviewed by Jared Carrano

Pond Brook Phase 1 data was collected by Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. Documentation of their meta-data and data collection information has been provided; along with the SGAT and FIT project files.

Overall the data is good and few QA issues will need to be addressed. QA notes for relevant reaches are listed. For the DEC-RMP QA, the 2003 NAIP photos and USGS topographic maps, were used in conjunction with shapefiles provided by Fitzgerald Environmental Associates, LLC. The following QA notes are suggestions for possible data corrections/modifications and/or things to be aware of when using the data.

The assessor should review the following comment to determine if they need to change/modify their information and provide documentation on where changes to the original data were made, or where a change was not warranted.

Reach Number:

T2.01 It looks as though this corridor was created using the adjacent road as the valley wall. Have you field verified this as the correct valley wall? The difference here would be whether there was a significant road encroachment in the reach or not.
Encroachment has been added to a short section of the reach where the valley was likely narrowed by the road. (EPF; 7/25/07)